Integration of tungsten layers for the mass fabrication of WO3-based pH-sensitive potentiometric microsensors

Ahmet Lale, Aliki Tsopela, Aurélie Civélas, Ludovic Salvagnac, Jérôme Launay, Pierre Temple-Boyer

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-01399777
https://hal.laas.fr/hal-01399777
Submitted on 20 Nov 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
INTEGRATION OF TUNGSTEN LAYERS FOR THE MASS FABRICATION OF WO₃-BASED PH-SENSITIVE POTENTIOMETRIC MICROSENSORS

Ahmet Lale¹,², Aliki Tsopela¹,², Aurélie Civélas¹,², Ludovic Salvagnac¹,², Jérôme Launay¹,², Pierre Temple-Boyer¹,²

¹ CNRS, LAAS, 7 avenue du colonel Roche, F-31400 Toulouse, France
² Université de Toulouse, UPS, LAAS, F-31400 Toulouse, France

Abstract

(W/WO₃ – Ag/AgCl) and (Pt/IrO₂ – Ag/AgCl) potentiometric microdevices were developed for pH measurement in liquid phase using a (Pt – Pt – Ag/AgCl) electrochemical microcells (ElecCell) silicon-based technological platform. A special emphasis was placed on the mass fabrication of the W/WO₃ microelectrode using sputtering deposition and oxygen plasma processes. Compared to the Pt/IrO₂-based one, the W/WO₃-based microelectrodes showed lower performances regarding the pH measurement. Nevertheless, since W/WO₃ microelectrodes yielded quasi-Nernstian sensitivity (around 55 mV/pH) in the [2-12] pH range, tungsten oxide WO₃ can be considered as a good candidate for the mass fabrication of pH microsensors using silicon technologies, even if important temporal drift (at least 6 millivolts per hour) and high hysteresis (around 50 mV) were also evidenced.

Keywords: microelectrodes, potentiometric microdevices, physical vapour deposition, tungsten W thin films, tungsten oxide WO₃, iridium oxide IrO₂, pH measurement
1. Introduction

Since life-related processes concern mainly water-based samples, pH measurement was required for various analyses and pH monitoring was intensively studied [1]. Optical detection/transduction principles were successfully developed and industrialized for health applications. In order to meet requirements of small volume sample, high screening, high performance and low cost, pH coloured indicators have therefore been used for medical analysis [2]. Regarding environmental applications and food industry, electrochemical detection/transduction principles were preferred. As a result, pH-glass electrodes were widely developed to deal with high sample volumes, long-duration analysis and in-situ process monitoring (even if their use is still hindered by fragility) [3]. Nevertheless, since analysis techniques have followed the miniaturization path, the development of electrochemical detection/transduction principles attracted particular attention due to their higher compatibility with microelectronics, leading to the development of solid-state pH microsensors. On the one hand, pH-sensitive chemical field effect transistors (pH-ChemFET) were studied using different sensitive gate materials such as silicon nitride Si$_3$N$_4$, aluminium oxide Al$_2$O$_3$ and tantalum oxide Ta$_2$O$_5$ [4]. Thus, high compatibility of field effect transistors (FET) microdevices with silicon-based technologies ensured mass fabrication of integrated pH microsensors dedicated to pH measurement [5], bacterial analysis [6], on-line monitoring of cell metabolism [7], on-line biochemical detection [8] as well as genome sequencing [9]. On the other hand, research has been focused on pH-based ion-sensitive electrodes (pH-ISE) and many metal oxides were used as sensitive materials [10].

Among them, iridium oxide IrO$_2$ (or IrO$_x$) was extensively studied. Through the development of anodic electrodeposition processes [11], IrO$_2$ (micro)electrodes were produced, demonstrating near-Nernstian responses, high pH detection range, low interferences of other ionic species and improved long-term stability [12-18]. As a result, these anodically electrodeposited iridium oxide
films (AEIROF) were used for various pH-related applications: pH sensing [14,15,18], pH imaging using scanning electrochemical microscopy [19], biomedical analysis [20], neurostimulation [21], cell media microanalysis [22]. Nevertheless, even if first devices were reported for simultaneous fabrication of AEIROF-based microelectrodes into microfluidic channels [22-24], the integration of IrO\textsubscript{x}-based pH microsensors is still hindered by low compatibility of anodic electrodeposition with mass fabrication. In order to overcome these limitations, some technological processes were proposed, including thermal oxidation [25], physical vapour deposition [26,27] and sol-gel dip-coating/patterning deposition [28,29]. However, in these different cases, IrO\textsubscript{x} (micro)electrodes are still characterized by good pH detection properties but also by non-Nernstian pH responses (sensitivity around 50 mV/pH). Thus, taking into account the mass integration limitation, other metal oxides were studied to compete with iridium oxide IrO\textsubscript{2} regarding development of pH microsensors.

In this context, tungsten oxide WO\textsubscript{3} (or WO\textsubscript{x}) is also an interesting candidate and exhibits significant advantages compared to other metal oxides. Firstly, tungsten (W) is a well-known metal in silicon foundries since it has been used to create gate contacts and interconnects in very-large scale integration (VLSI) devices developed for microelectronic applications [30,31]. Secondly, tungsten oxide thin films were studied for the mass fabrication of photo-electrochemical devices while developing integration processes based on physical vapour deposition [32-35]. Thirdly, it was successfully elaborated as a sensitive material for the fabrication of pH-ChemFET microdevices [36] as well as pH-sensitive microelectrodes [37-41]. In most cases, tungsten oxidation was obtained by electro-oxidation in basic solutions, leading to the formation of stable WO\textsubscript{3} thin films. Thus, non-Nernstian pH-responses (sensitivity around 55 mV/pH) were evidenced on wide pH range. Lastly, metallic tungsten (W) electrode was investigated as a potential candidate for pH measurement using WO\textsubscript{2} or W\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} native oxide as pH-sensitive layer, exhibiting lower sensitivity (~50 mV/pH) [42]. In most cases, the mass fabrication requirement was not met. Nevertheless, this
last work offers opportunities for the integration of tungsten-based pH-sensitive layers and the development of mass–fabricated W/WO₃ pH microsensors using silicon-based technologies.

This paper deals with the integration of pH-sensitive, electrochemical microsensors based on tungsten trioxide. In order to deal with mass fabrication, we have developed a two-step deposition process where we first deposit tungsten (W) via sputtering and then we oxidize the film using an oxygen (O₂) plasma to form tungsten trioxide. Thus, W/WO₃ integrated microelectrodes are microfabricated using a standard (Pt - Pt – Ag/AgCl) electrochemical microcell (ElecCell) based on silicon technologies. Finally, detection properties of W/WO₃ pH-sensitive microelectrodes are studied and compared to standard Pt/IrO₂ ones.

2. Experimental

2.1 Deposition of tungsten thin films

Growth experiments were carried out in a UNIVEX 450C cluster. Magnetron sputter depositions were performed using a tungsten cathode (99.999% purity). Electrical bias and sputtering power were fixed at 0 volt and 800 Watts respectively, while the argon (Ar) total pressure varied between 10⁻³ and 3 × 10⁻² millibar. Thin tungsten films (thickness range: 200 – 500 nm according to experimental conditions) were deposited on 4-inch, around 525 µm thick, (100), oxidized (SiO₂ thickness around 600 nm) silicon wafers. Films thickness was measured by profilometry using the shadow mask technique, and deposition rates were calculated by dividing deposited thickness by deposition duration. Then, wafer curvature shifts before and after deposition were also assessed by profilometry. Since the deposited tungsten films are very thin compared to the silicon wafer, its average stress was finally calculated through Stoney's formula [43]. Finally, electrical conductivity of tungsten films was estimated by four-probe measurements. For all these
different characterization techniques, measurement accuracy is estimated between ± 5% and ± 10%. Nevertheless, in order to define more precisely the standard deviations associated to deposition conditions and uniformity, experimental results were deduced from at least five measurements on the wafer.

2.2 Electrochemical microcells fabrication

Different pH-sensitive microelectrodes were fabricated using a technological platform dedicated to the microfabrication of electrochemical microcells (ElecCell) [18,44]. Starting with an oxidized (oxide thickness: ~ 0.6 μm) silicon wafer in order to ensure electrical insulation between different metallic layers, two successive evaporation processes were performed using the lift-off technique in order to fabricate (Pt - Pt - Ag) ElecCell microdevices. Firstly, a 120 nm platinum layer was deposited on a 20 nm titanium underlayer in order to enhance platinum adhesion on silicon oxide, followed by a 400 nm silver layer. For tungsten-based microelectrodes, a 500 nm tungsten layer was first deposited on the oxidized substrate without any adhesion layer and patterned using a standard lift-off process in order to enhance fabrication's reproducibility. Tungsten deposition parameters were selected so that technological defects related to residual stress are limited. An oxygen (O₂) plasma process was then performed (plasma power: 800 W – duration: 15 min) in order to oxidize the tungsten layer and to form a WO₃ thin film on top. Then, typical Ti/Pt and Ag deposition/patterning procedures previously described were used. Finally, an inorganic Si₃N₄-based passivation layer (thickness around 0.1 μm) was deposited on the whole wafer. Patterning was performed using an optimized double layer lift-off process in order to define microelectrodes active surface and ensure electrochemical properties in liquid phase [45]. According to the photolithographic masks design, 50μm-diameter disk working microelectrodes were developed (theoretic area: 19.6 × 10⁻⁴ mm²). In contrast, very large silver-based reference
microelectrodes (~ 0.02 mm²) were fabricated in order to improve the potentiometric detection behaviour.

Thus, (W/WO₃ – Pt – Ag) and (Pt – Pt – Ag) microdevices were mass fabricated on silicon substrate. After dicing, ElecCell chips were placed, glued by an epoxy insulating glue and wire bonded on a specifically coated printed circuit. Packaging was completed by encapsulating wire bonds using a silicone glop-top in order to be completely compatible with liquid phase measurement. For the (Pt – Pt – Ag) configuration, an iridium oxide IrO₂ thin film was electrodeposited according to methods proposed in literature [11,14,23]. Thus, 0.075 g of iridium tetrachloride IrCl₄ was dissolved in 50 mL of deionized water, and the solution was stirred for 30 minutes. Hydrogen peroxide H₂O₂ (30%, 0.5 mL) and then 0.25 g of oxalic acid C₂O₄H₂ were added in the solution. After a 10-minutes stirring process, solution's pH was adjusted to 10.5 using dehydrated potassium carbonate K₂CO₃. Then, the solution was stored for stabilization for one week. Finally, platinum microelectrode was immersed in this iridium-based solution and a potential of 0.6 V was applied using an Ag/AgCl/KCl_sat double junction glass electrode as reference. The IrO₂ electrodeposition process was finally interrupted when a 0.5 C/cm² charge was deposited.

Finally, for all microdevices, after an initial Ag surface evaluation by cyclic voltammetry (potential scan rate: 50 mV/s between -1.1 and 0.5 V) in a 0.1 M KNO₃-based acid solution (pH = 3.5), the silver/silver chloride Ag/AgCl reference microelectrode was obtained by oxidizing the silver metallic layer in a 0.01 M KCl solution. This oxidation was performed by linear voltammetry (potential scan rate: 1 mV/s between 0 and 0.25 V) using an Ag/AgCl/KCl_sat double junction glass electrode as reference. Overall, (W/WO₃ – Ag/AgCl) and (Pt/IrO₂ – Ag/AgCl) pH-sensitive integrated microdevices were successfully fabricated (figures 1a and 1b).

2.3 Electrochemical characterization
All electrochemical characterizations were held using a multi-channel VMP potentiostat from Biologic. In order to define standard deviations associated to microfabrication process and/or characterization procedure, three Pt/IrO$_2$ and six W/WO$_3$ microelectrodes (thanks to the mass fabrication possibility) were characterized. Thus, Nernst potentials were estimated by potentiometry using the open-circuit method. First, electrochemical properties of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode were studied. Using a commercial reference electrode (Metrohm Ag/AgCl glass double junction, with inner and outer compartments filled with KCl 3.5 M), open-circuit potentials at equilibrium were recorded in solutions consisting of a background electrolyte (CH$_3$COOLi - 0.1 M) with potassium chloride KCl concentrations ranging from $10^{-5}$ M to saturation ($\sim$ 4.5 M). Thus, chloride ion (Cl$^-$) detection properties as well as the temporal stability were thoroughly studied. Then, pH measurements were performed for different solutions (pH = 2.0, 4.0, 5.5, 7.0, 8.5, 10.0 and 12.0). Chloride ion's concentration was kept constant for all the buffer samples in order to ensure proper use of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference microelectrode, and compare it to the commercial glass reference electrode. All chemical reagents were purchased from Sigma.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Deposition of tungsten thin films

Properties of different sputtered tungsten films were studied as a function of argon total pressure (table 1). Concerning the deposition rate, it is proportional to ions current in the plasma [46]. Since total pressure increase is known to be responsible for the formation of argon plasma with higher density and therefore higher ionic current, it consequently leads to a deposition rate increase (figure 2).
Furthermore, total pressure increase is found to be responsible for an intrinsic stress increase from very compressive to very tensile values (figure 3). This transition was already evidenced in literature [47-49]. Given the fact that this transition was found to depend on tungsten film's density and microstructure [47,49], the intrinsic stress origin into sputtered tungsten films was not fully explained. It is assumed that the compressive stress is related to atomic peening of the film due to particles bombardment during sputtering deposition process and that total pressure increase is responsible for plasma particles thermalization that induces tensile stress [48,50]. Nevertheless, this compressive-tensile stress transition yields a no-stress tungsten film. Such condition of no-stress (or slightly tensile stress) is very important in order to be able to deposit tungsten films with various thicknesses without encountering non-adhesion and/or delamination phenomena. Given our sputtering conditions (bias: 0 V, power: 800 W), this no-stress film was obtained for an argon total pressure of $20 \times 10^{-3}$ millibars. The aforementioned experimental conditions were finally used for the integration of (W/WO$_3$–Pt–Ag/AgCl) ElecCell microdevices.

Finally, the tungsten film's resistivity was found to be at least two or three times higher than the one obtained with the bulk material (around 5 $\mu$Ωcm [50]). This ratio was already found in literature and is related to impurities systematically introduced in the films during sputtering process [51]. According our results, argon total pressure increase induces a resistivity increase. Nevertheless further conclusions can hardly be provided for contradictory reasons. On one side according to the grain-boundaries model [52], resistivity depends on the film's thickness and not on the deposition rate. On the other side, in disagreement with the grain-boundaries model, the use of argon-based plasma was found to be responsible for complex resistivity variations with film thickness due to huge discrepancies in terms of microstructure, morphology and porosity [46]. These variations were related to the deposition of different tungsten phases $\alpha$–W and $\beta$-W according to the deposition parameters: plasma power, temperature and pressure [51,53]. However, coming back to our main interest, resistivity values obtained for the no-stress tungsten film (~ 16.5
µΩcm) were low enough to enable use of such film for the development of microelectrodes and electrochemical microcells.

3.2 Characterization of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode

In order to validate the integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode, its behaviour was studied for the measurement of chloride ion (Cl\(^-\)) concentration in liquid phase using a Metrohm Ag/AgCl glass double junction reference electrode. Typical potentiometric responses were obtained for the Nernst potential at equilibrium, yielding quasi-Nernstian sensitivities of 57 ± 2 mV/pCl on the \([10^{-5} \text{ M} - 10^0 \text{ M}]\) concentration range (figure 4) [54-56]. Then, its stability was studied in 0.01M KCl solutions with different pH (pH= 2.0, 7.0 and 12.0) (figure not shown). Whatever the pH (i.e. acid, neutral or basic), after an initial decrease of around 5 mV during half an hour, the Ag/AgCl microelectrode's potential at equilibrium was found to be quite stable with a value of around 0.10 V, exhibiting a very low negative drift (around 3 mV/h) during one hour. Such result is in agreement with literature [54,56] and validates our microfabrication process based on thin, i.e. micrometric or smaller, metallic films and passivation layers.

3.3 pH measurement using W/WO\(_3\) and Pt/IrO\(_2\) microelectrodes

Measurement properties of the different pH-sensitive microelectrodes were studied in different solutions (pH = 2.0, 4.0, 5.5, 7.0, 8.5, 10.0 and 12.0) while keeping chloride ion concentration constant to avoid any interference associated to the integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Nevertheless, in a first step, both Pt/IrO\(_2\) and W/WO\(_3\) microelectrodes were studied using a Metrohm commercial reference electrode. As expected, both microelectrodes were found to be sensitive to pH and be able to monitor pH variations from 2 to 12 (figures 5 and 6). So, Pt/IrO\(_2\)
microelectrodes yielded Nernstian sensitivity (around 60 mV/pH) [14-18,24-27]. It should be mentioned that literature showed supra-Nernstian pH responses for AEIROF-based microelectrodes and related them to variations in the IrO₂ oxidation state [12,19,20,22,23,25]. In our case, such improved sensitivity values were not obtained. Concerning tungsten oxide based microelectrodes, they exhibited linear, sub-Nernstian sensitivity (around 55 mV/pH). Such value is representative of stoichiometric tungsten oxide WO₃ rather than non-stoichiometric oxide [38,41,42].

Measurement stability was also examined for three different solutions (pH = 2.0, 7.0 and 12.0) during several hours. It should be mentioned that no deterioration of the W/WO₃ structure was noticed during these experiments. Both Pt/IrO₂ and W/WO₃ microelectrodes were characterized by a similar temporal drift around 6 mV/h, i.e. 0.1 pH/h (results not shown). Such low values should be related to the silicon-based thin-film fabrication process. According to these results, hysteresis was studied for the acid/basic/acid transition. Thus, a low value (around 5 mV) was obtained for the Pt/IrO₂ microelectrodes whereas a higher one (around 50 mV) was found for the W/WO₃ microelectrode. Such result could be related to the electrochemical stability of tungsten oxides in water-based solutions. According to the metastable E-pH diagram proposed for the WO₃-H₂O system [38,57], the formation of tungstate ions (WO₄²⁻) occurs for pH higher than 6. A careful analysis of our experimental results (figure 6) demonstrate a potential shift of 50 mV around pH = 6, correlating the high hysteresis value to electrochemical instabilities of WO₃ films obtained through oxygen (O₂) plasma oxidation. This phenomenon is quite problematic since it will be responsible for possible measurement errors at neutral pH. Nevertheless, this should be solved by improving the tungsten oxidation process [42].

Then, (Pt/IrO₂ – Ag/AgCl) and (W/WO₃ - Ag/AgCl) pH-sensitive microdevices were used for pH monitoring in an autonomous way, i.e. using the integrated Ag/AgCl reference microelectrode (rather than the Metrohm commercial reference electrode as reported previously). In both cases, pH was monitored successfully, demonstrating the integration of pH-sensitive potentiometric
microcells using iridium oxide IrO$_2$ or tungsten oxide WO$_3$ sensitive layers (figure 7). According to calibration curves (figure 8) and as previously reported, the Pt/IrO$_2$-based pH-ElecCell yielded higher sensitivity compared to the W/WO$_3$-based one, i.e. 60 versus 55 mV/pH (table 2). Concerning stability at pH 2.0, 7.0 and 12.0, a temporal drift of approximately 18 mV/hour, i.e. 0.3 pH/h, was obtained (results not shown). Compared to the previous result, the increase should be directly correlated to the use of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference microelectrode. Firstly, its own temporal drift (~ 3 mV/h, cf. §3.2) is interfering with the final pH measurement. Secondly, the electrical polarization of the whole microdevices should be responsible for leakage currents between microelectrodes due to technological defects at the wafer level. From a measurement reproducibility point of view, such high temporal drift should strongly hinder the long-term use of the examined technology. Nevertheless, it can be reduced by developing specific techniques not only on wafer-level but also regarding and (micro)system packaging techniques [58-60]. Finally, as previously reported, the W/WO$_3$-based pH-ElecCell yielded higher hysteresis compared to the Pt/IrO$_2$-based one: -25 mV versus +75 mV. Similarly, the discrepancy is clearly related to some potential variation occurring around pH 6 and previously associated to the formation of tungstic acid H$_2$WO$_4$ [38,57]. Finally, the hysteresis value obtained for the Pt/IrO$_2$-based pH-sensitive microdevices was not clearly understood. It should be related to the use of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode and needs to be further studied.

Overall, the different results related to pH detection were summarized in table 2. Thus, it appears that the Pt/IrO$_2$-based pH-ElecCell is better compared to the W/WO$_3$-based microdevice. Nevertheless, these lower performances are fully counterbalanced by the fact that W/WO$_3$-based pH-ElecCell is mass fabricated due to tungsten layer oxidation using an oxygen O$_2$ plasma process while Pt/IrO$_2$-based microdevice is still fabricated individually through to a standard anodic electrodeposition process.
4. Conclusion

A silicon-based technological platform dedicated to (Pt – Pt – Ag/AgCl) electrochemical microcells (ElecCell) was used to fabricate (Pt/IrO$_2$ – Ag/AgCl) and (W/WO$_3$ – Ag/AgCl) potentiometric microdevices. Specific attention was brought on the mass fabrication of the W/WO$_3$ microelectrode. As a matter of fact, a no-stress thin tungsten film was deposited by sputtering in order to avoid any non-adhesion and/or delamination problems, and was then oxidized through an oxygen (O$_2$) plasma process to mass fabricate the tungsten oxide thin layer. Compared to the Pt/IrO$_2$-based one, the W/WO$_3$-based microdevices showed lower performances concerning pH measurement. Nevertheless, since quasi-Nernstian sensitivity (around 55 mV/pH) as well as acceptable stability (around 18 mV/h) and hysteresis (around 50 mV) were evidenced on the [2-12] pH range, (W/WO$_3$ – Ag/AgCl) pH-sensitive microdevices were successfully developed for pH monitoring in liquid phase. As a result, tungsten oxide WO$_3$ was finally found to be a good candidate for the mass fabrication of pH microsensors using silicon-based technologies.

From our results, two drawbacks still need to be solved. First, even if fabrication reproducibility is ensured by the use of a well-defined, silicon-based process, measurement reproducibility is still hindered by relatively high temporal drifts: values around 6 or 18 millivolts per hour, i.e. 0.1 or 0.3 pH per hour, were evidenced according to the use of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference microelectrode. This drawback appears to be not related to working electrode materials, i.e. Pt/IrO$_2$ or W/WO$_3$, but to the technological compatibility between potentiometric devices and liquid phase analysis. Secondly, the WO$_3$/H$_2$WO$_4$ transition at pH 6 was found to be responsible for measurement instabilities and finally high hysteresis (around 50 mV). Both drawbacks will be solved through technological process improvements associated with the tungsten plasma oxidation process under oxygen (O$_2$) as well as wafer-level and/or (micro)system packaging procedures.
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TABLE & FIGURE CAPTIONS

Table 1: characteristics of tungsten thin films deposited by sputtering
(bias: 0 V, power: 800 W) as a function of argon total pressure
(deviations were estimated through at least five different measurements on the wafer)

Table 2: Comparison of pH detection properties
of Pt/IrO$_2$–based and W/WO$_3$-based potentiometric microdevices
(deviations were estimated by characterizing three Pt/IrO$_2$ and six W/WO$_3$ microelectrodes)

Figure 1: a) optical and (inset) scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of (W/WO$_3$ – Pt – Ag/AgCl)
electrochemical microcell chips and b) SEM and (inset) optical micrographs of (Pt/IrO$_2$ – Pt – Ag/AgCl) electrochemical microcell chips

Figure 2: variations of the sputtered tungsten deposition rate as a function of argon total pressure
(linear fit is given by the dotted line, error bars represent standard deviation across at least five measurements)

Figure 3: variations of the sputtered tungsten film intrinsic stress as a function of argon total pressure (linear fit is given by dotted line, error bars represent standard deviation across at least five measurements)
Figure 4: pCl calibration curve of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode
(linear fit is given by dotted line, error bars represent standard deviation across at least nine measurements)

Figure 5: pH analytical responses of a) W/WO$_3$ and b) Ti/Pt/IrO$_2$ microelectrodes using a Metrohm commercial reference electrode

Figure 6: pH calibration curves of W/WO$_3$ and Pt/IrO$_2$ microelectrodes using a Metrohm commercial reference electrode (linear fits are given by dotted lines, error bars represent standard deviation across at least nine measurements)

Figure 7: pH analytical responses of a) (W/WO$_3$ –Ag/AgCl) and b) (Pt/IrO$_2$ – Ag/AgCl) potentiometric microdevices

Figure 8: pH calibration curves of (W/WO$_3$ – Ag/AgCl) and (Pt/IrO$_2$ – Ag/AgCl) potentiometric microdevices (linear fits are given by dotted lines error bars represent standard deviation across at least nine measurements)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>total pressure (millibar)</th>
<th>deposition rate (nm/min)</th>
<th>residual stress (GPa)</th>
<th>resistivity (μΩcm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$77 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$-2.6 \pm 0.13$</td>
<td>$13.7 \pm 0.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$83 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$-2.3 \pm 0.12$</td>
<td>$14.0 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$87 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$-1.9 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$13.5 \pm 1.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$20 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$96 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$0.1 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$16.5 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$22 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$100 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$0.7 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$16.5 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$30 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$133 \pm 3$</td>
<td>$1.3 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$33 \pm 1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: characteristics of tungsten thin films deposited by sputtering (bias: 0 V, power: 800 W) as a function of argon total pressure (deviations were estimated through at least five different measurements on the wafer)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>sensitivity (mV/pH)</th>
<th>temporal drift (mV/h)</th>
<th>hysteresis (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt/IrO₂ microelectrode</td>
<td>60 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>+5 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs reference electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/WO₃ microelectrode</td>
<td>55 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1.5</td>
<td>-50 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vs reference electrode</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pt/IrO₂ - Pt - Ag/AgCl) ElecCell</td>
<td>60 ± 1</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
<td>+25 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(W/WO₃ - Pt - Ag/AgCl) ElecCell</td>
<td>55 ± 1</td>
<td>18 ± 3</td>
<td>-75 ± 5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Comparison of pH detection properties of Pt/IrO₂–based and W/WO₃–based potentiometric microdevices (deviations were estimated by characterizing three Pt/IrO₂ and six W/WO₃ microelectrodes)
Figure 1: a) optical and (inset) scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of (W/WO$_3$ – Pt – Ag/AgCl) electrochemical microcell chips and b) SEM and (inset) optical micrographs of (Pt/IrO$_2$ – Pt – Ag/AgCl) electrochemical microcell chips
Figure 2: variations of the sputtered tungsten deposition rate as a function of argon total pressure
(linear fit is given by the dotted line, error bars represent standard deviation across at least five measurements)
Figure 3: variations of the sputtered tungsten film intrinsic stress as a function of argon total pressure (linear fit is given by dotted line, error bars represent standard deviation across at least five measurements)
Figure 4: pCl calibration curve of the integrated Ag/AgCl reference electrode

(linear fit is given by dotted line, error bars represent standard deviation across at least nine measurements)
Figure 5: pH analytical responses of a) W/WO$_3$ and b) Ti/Pt/IrO$_2$ microelectrodes using a Metrohm commercial reference electrode.
Figure 6: pH calibration curves of W/WO₃ and Pt/IrO₂ microelectrodes using a Metrohm commercial reference electrode (linear fits are given by dotted lines, error bars represent standard deviation across at least nine measurements)
Figure 7: pH analytical responses of a) (W/WO$_3$ – Ag/AgCl) and b) (Pt/IrO$_2$ – Ag/AgCl) potentiometric microdevices
Figure 8: pH calibration curves of (W/WO$_3$–Ag/AgCl) and (Pt/IrO$_2$–Ag/AgCl) potentiometric microdevices (linear fits are given by dotted lines error bars represent standard deviation across at least nine measurements)