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Abstract:

The paper deals with the properties of silicon films obtained by low-pressure chemical vapour deposition (LPCVD). Two gaseous sources characterized by different deposition temperatures, i.e. disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ (420 – 520°C) and silane SiH$_4$ (520 – 750°C), were studied in order to understand the influences of the deposition and crystallization kinetics on the silicon films properties. Thus, the deposition of amorphous, semi-crystallized and polycrystalline silicon films was related to the "volume random" and "surface columnar" crystallization phenomena, highlighting a linear relationship between the refractive index and the polysilicon volume fraction and, showing complex residual stress dependency with process conditions. Finally, by introducing the ratio $V_d/V_c$ between the deposition and crystallization rates as a major parameter, different deposition behaviours and related semi-empirical relationships were defined in order to characterize fully the various properties of LPCVD silicon films (microstructure, polysilicon volume fraction, refractive index and residual stress) according to the chosen gaseous source, silane or disilane.
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1. Introduction

In the context of microtechnologies, the properties of silicon films deposited by low-pressure chemical vapour deposition (LPCVD) were thoroughly studied. The investigations have mainly concerned the silane SiH$_4$ gaseous source [1-17]. They evidenced that the deposition temperature $T$, the SiH$_4$ partial pressure $P$ and/or the deposition rate $V_d$ were the main parameters for understanding the silicon films properties: crystallinity, microstructure, roughness, residual stress,…

In parallel, the disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous source was also studied [18-21]. Compared to silane SiH$_4$, its main advantage is related to the deposition of amorphous silicon films at low temperature ($420 – 520^\circ C$), i.e. lower than the silicon crystallization temperature ($\approx 580^\circ C$). Therefore, thanks to the disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous source, it was possible to separate the influence of the deposition and crystallization phenomena in order to understand better the silicon films properties (amorphous structure, crystallization kinetics, polycrystalline grains morphology, and residual stress) according to the deposition parameters: deposition temperature $T$, Si$_2$H$_6$ partial pressure $P$ and/or deposition rate $V_d$.

Nevertheless, in order to better understand silicon films properties, it is interesting to study in parallel the silane SiH$_4$ and the disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous sources. This paper proposes such a study for silicon films deposited from both gaseous sources on a wide temperature range ($420 – 750^\circ C$). It presents a complete synthesis of results while introducing the ratio $V_d/V_c$ between the deposition and crystallization rates as a major influencing parameter.

2. Experimental details

Growth experiments were carried out in a conventional hot-wall, horizontal, LPCVD furnace. Using a full load of six test wafers and at least six screen wafers, thin silicon films (thickness range: 200 – 600 nm according to deposition conditions and times) were deposited
on 4-inch, around 525-μm thick, (111), oxidised (around 120 nm thermal oxide) silicon substrates. Two gaseous sources, i.e. disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ and silane $\text{SiH}_4$, were used in order to study the silicon deposition process on a large temperature range, i.e. from 420 to 750°C. For the two gaseous sources, the deposition conditions, i.e. temperature $T$, total pressure $P$, gas flow $f$ and wafer-to-wafer distance $\delta$, are detailed in table 1. Except for the deposition experiment from silane $\text{SiH}_4$ at 750°C (see section 3.1), in each case and whatever the other deposition parameters, the gas flow $f$ was chosen so that the deposition kinetics is controlled by the temperature rather than by mass transfer.

Silicon films thickness $e_d$ and refractive index $n_{830\text{nm}}$ were measured by ellipsometry at a 830nm wavelength. Deposition thickness $e_d$ was also checked by profilometry after the partial removal of the silicon upper film by chemical etching into the solution $\text{HNO}_3$ (50 cm$^3$) - $\text{CH}_3\text{COOH}$ (20 cm$^3$) – $\text{HF}$ (2 cm$^3$). Finally, deposition rates $V_d$ were calculated by dividing the deposition thickness $e_d$ by the deposition time $t_d$. The accuracy of these measurements was estimated to be ± 1%.

The wafer curvature shift $\delta \rho$ before and after removal of the backside deposition by chemical etching (see below) was also determined by profilometry. Since the deposited silicon film is very thin compared to the silicon substrate ($e_d << e_s$), its average stress $\sigma$ was finally calculated thanks to the Stoney's formula [22]:

$$\sigma = \frac{e_s^2}{6e_d} \delta \rho$$

where $e_d$ is the deposited film thickness, and $e_s$ and $G_s$ are the silicon substrate thickness and elasticity coefficient respectively. The accuracy of the whole technique was estimated at ± 5%.

Thermal annealing processes were performed at 600°C into a conventional furnace under nitrogen ($\text{N}_2$) ambient. Silicon microstructures were finally analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) observations after preparing the samples into a SECCO solution (HF: 13.33% and $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$: 0.05 mol/L) in order to reveal the polysilicon grains by etching the amorphous grain boundaries. Thanks to the SEM images, the surface ratio $r$ between the
polycrystalline phases (clear areas) surface and the total scanned surface was thus calculated, and the polysilicon volume fraction $f_{p-Si}$ was finally estimated according to the following equation:

$$f_{p-Si} = r^{3/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

All in all, the accuracy for the determination of the polysilicon volume fraction $f_{p-Si}$ was not worse than ± 8%.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Deposition kinetics

The deposition kinetics related to the silane SiH$_4$ and disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous sources were studied according to the different deposition pressures (figure 1). Even if some consumption effects were evidenced for the deposition experiment from silane SiH$_4$ at 750°C, typical Arrhenius laws were found for both gaseous sources:

$$V_d = V_{d0} \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{kT} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where the $V_{d0}$ parameters depend only on the deposition total pressure $P$ and on the wafer-to-wafer distance $\delta$, and the activation energies $E_a$ were estimated to be 1.5 eV and 2.25 eV for the silane SiH$_4$ and disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous sources, respectively.

In order to explain such results, the chemical reactions in gaseous phase were studied. From a general point of view and introducing the silylene radical SiH$_2$, the pyrolysis reactions of silanes Si$_n$H$_{2n+2}$ can be written as follows [23]:

- $\text{Si}_n\text{H}_{2n+2} \leftrightarrow \text{Si}_{n-1}\text{H}_{2n} + \text{SiH}_2$
- $\text{Si}_n\text{H}_{2n+2} \leftrightarrow n\text{Si}_{\text{adsorbed}} + (n+1)\text{H}_2$
- $\text{SiH}_2 \leftrightarrow \text{Si}_{\text{adsorbed}} + \text{H}_2$

For the silane SiH$_4$ gaseous source, this reactions system can be limited to the first order ($n = 1$). Nevertheless, since the synthesis of silylene SiH$_2$ from silane SiH$_4$ is negligible (or
weak) in the chosen deposition temperature range (520 – 750°C) [23], the silicon deposition is mainly due to the silane SiH₄ pyrolysis:

- \( \text{SiH}_4 \longrightarrow \text{Si}_{\text{adsorbed}} + 2\text{H}_2 \)

For the disilane \( \text{Si}_2\text{H}_6 \) gaseous source, the reactions in gaseous phase involve both first and second orders (\( n = 1 \) and \( n = 2 \)). However, since the silane SiH₄ pyrolysis is very low for the chosen deposition temperature (420 – 520°C), the silicon deposition is mainly due to the synthesis of silylene SiH₂ from disilane \( \text{Si}_2\text{H}_6 \) and finally to the silylene SiH₂ pyrolysis:

- \( \text{Si}_2\text{H}_6 \stackrel{<}{\longrightarrow} \text{SiH}_4 + \text{SiH}_2 \)
- \( \text{SiH}_2 \longrightarrow \text{Si}_{\text{adsorbed}} + \text{H}_2 \)

Thus, compared to silane SiH₄, the interest in using disilane \( \text{Si}_2\text{H}_6 \) is related to the introduction of a large amount of silylene SiH₂ species into the gaseous phase. Since this radical is very reactive, it is responsible for a higher activation energy \( E_a \) and finally for higher deposition rates for a given deposition temperature (figure 1).

In previous works, the ratio between the deposition rate \( V_d \) and the crystallization rate \( V_c \) was introduced as a major parameter in order to define the silicon films properties [5,24]. Furthermore, Guillemet et al. have shown that silicon crystallization kinetic is characterized by a typical Arrhenius law:

\[
V_c = V_{c0} \exp \left( \frac{-E_c}{kT} \right)
\]

where the activation energy \( E_c \) and the parameter \( V_{c0} \) were respectively estimated to be 2.5 eV and \( 1.6 \times 10^{15} \) nm/min [25].

Finally, \( V_d/V_c \) ratios were calculated according to equations (3) and (4). Thus, while investigating commonly both silane SiH₄ and disilane \( \text{Si}_2\text{H}_6 \) gaseous sources, the properties of LPCVD silicon film were studied for \( V_d/V_c \) values ranging from \( 10^{-2} \) to \( 10^{3} \).

3.2. Refractive index
The refractive index at the 830nm wavelength $n_{830nm}$ was investigated versus the $V_d/V_c$ ratio for both silane $\text{SiH}_4$ and disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ gaseous sources (figure 2). For the as-deposited silicon films, a refractive index transition from 4.0 to 3.7 is evidenced for a $V_d/V_c$ value around 1. Since this transition no longer exists after a 15-hours crystallization anneal at 600°C, it should be related to the transition from amorphous silicon ($n_{a-Si} = 4.00$) and polycrystalline silicon ($n_{p-Si} = 3.70$) [14,17,26]. This was confirmed by studying the refractive index variations with the 600°C annealing duration for two amorphous silicon films deposited from silane $\text{SiH}_4$ ($T = 555°C; P = 26.66 \text{ Pa}; V_d/V_c \approx 2.3$) and disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ ($T = 465°C; P = 26.66 \text{ Pa}; V_d/V_c \approx 250$) gaseous sources, respectively (figure 3).

In order to explain this transition, silicon has to be considered as a heterogeneous medium between a polycrystalline phase and an amorphous one. Thus, since the silicon crystallites size ($\approx 1 \mu\text{m}$) is of the same order of magnitude as the wavelength (figure 4) and considering that the optical index is a macroscopic parameter that does not depend on the intrinsic microstructure, the Bruggeman theory gives [27]:

$$f_{p-Si} \frac{n_{p-Si}^2 - n^2}{n_{p-Si}^2 + 2n^2} + f_{a-Si} \frac{n_{a-Si}^2 - n^2}{n_{a-Si}^2 + 2n^2} = 0$$

(5)

$$f_{p-Si} + f_{a-Si} = 1$$

(6)

where $f_{p-Si}$, $n_{p-Si}$, $f_{a-Si}$ and $n_{a-Si}$, are the volume fractions and optical indexes of the polycrystalline silicon and amorphous silicon phases respectively.

At the 830nm wavelength and whether the microstructure is amorphous or polycrystalline, the extinction coefficient $k_{830nm}$ of silicon is very low ($k_{830nm} \approx 0.005$) compared to its refractive index $n_{830nm}$ ($3.7 \leq n_{830nm} \leq 4$). Thus, the optical index imaginary part can be neglected in the Bruggeman equation:

$$n_{830nm} = n_{830nm} - ik_{830nm}$$

(7)

Therefore, knowing that $n_{p-Si} = 3.70$ and $n_{a-Si} = 4.00$ (see before) and according to equations 5 to 7, it is now possible to determine the polysilicon volume fraction $f_{p-Si}$ as a function of the silicon film refractive index $n_{830nm}$:
\[ f_{p-Si} = -\frac{2(n_{830nm})^4 - 18.31(n_{830nm})^2 - 219.04}{6.93(n_{830nm})^2} \]  

(8)

From equation 8, obtaining a numeric relation giving the silicon film refractive index \( n_{830nm} \) as a function of the polysilicon volume fraction \( f_{p-Si} \) is possible but very intricate. In fact, it is more practical to use a simple linear interpolation of the theoretical curve (with an error lower than 1%):

\[ n_{830nm} \approx 4 - 0.3 f_{p-Si} \]  

(9)

In order to go further, the amorphous/polycrystalline transition was studied by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) for the two previously defined amorphous samples (figure 4). Typically, the SEM pictures evidenced the growth of polysilicon grains, starting from an amorphous silicon (a-Si) microstructure and leading to a volume randomly polycrystalline silicon (rp-Si) one [8,16,21,24,28]. Nevertheless, by estimating the volume fraction of the polysilicon phase \( f_{p-Si} \), a good fit was obtained with equation 9 (figure 5), demonstrating the impact of the crystallization phenomena on the silicon films refractive index.

3.3. Residual stress

The residual stress \( \sigma \) was studied versus the \( V_d/V_c \) ratio for both silane \( \text{SiH}_4 \) and/or disilane \( \text{Si}_2\text{H}_6 \) gaseous sources (figures 6 and 7). Such complex variations will be explained by considering, (i) the amorphous silicon films obtained for \( V_d/V_c > 2 \) with both gaseous sources, and (ii) the \( \text{SiH}_4 \)-deposited silicon films obtained for \( V_d/V_c < 5 \).

3.3.1 Residual stress into amorphous silicon films \((V_d/V_c > 2)\)

First, for the disilane \( \text{Si}_2\text{H}_6 \) gaseous source, i.e. for the highest \( V_d/V_c \) ratios \((V_d/V_c > 50)\), the silylene \( \text{SiH}_2 \) pyrolysis is responsible for high hydrogen contents into the deposited amorphous silicon films [14-16]. Thus, as-deposited, dehydrogenated (thanks to a 15-minutes
anneal at 600°C, and annealed (thanks to a 15-hours crystallization anneal at 600°C) samples were studied (figure 7). As a first result, it appears that the residual stress into dehydrogenated silicon film follows globally linear variations with the $V_d/V_c$ ratio:

$$\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6; \sigma_{\text{dehydrogenated}}(\text{MPa}) \approx -300 + 0.7 \frac{V_d}{V_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)$$

In previous works, the properties of amorphous silicon films deposited from disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ were related to deposition and germination phenomena by introducing their structural disorder and hydrogen content as main parameters [19]. Thus, since germination and crystallization kinetics are following similar Arrhenius laws [25], the residual stress $\sigma$ can be written as follows [21]:

$$\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6; \sigma \approx s\sigma_{[H]} + \sigma_{(V_d/V_c)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Consequently, according to equations (10) and (11), the residual stress $\sigma$ of amorphous deposited films deposited from disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ is given by:

$$\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6; \sigma_{\text{a-Si}}(\text{MPa}) \approx \sigma_{[H]} - 300 + 0.7 \frac{V_d}{V_c}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

From figure 7, it appears that the residual stress $\sigma$ into as-deposited silicon films and therefore the $\sigma_{[H]}$ parameter follow complex non-linear variations, especially for the lowest $V_d/V_c$ ratios. This prevents the full understanding of the properties of amorphous silicon films deposited from disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$.

Second, it appears in figure 7 that, whatever the deposition conditions, the residual stress of randomly polycrystalline silicon (rp-Si) films, deposited from disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ and annealed at 600°C, is tensile, equal to about +425 MPa.

$$\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6; \sigma_{\text{rp-Si}} \approx +425\text{MPa}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

Then, since silicon films deposited from silane $\text{SiH}_4$ in the [2-5] $V_d/V_c$ range are still amorphous, an analysis similar to the previous one was undertaken (figure 6). In this case, since the silane $\text{SiH}_4$ pyrolysis and the use of higher deposition temperatures are not responsible for hydrogenation effect, dehydrogenated samples were no longer studied. It appears that, whatever
the deposition conditions, the residual stresses into as-deposited and annealed (thanks to a 15-
hours crystallization anneal at 600°C) silicon films deposited from silane SiH₄ are respectively
compressive (≈ -300 MPa) and tensile (≈ +250 MPa). These results should be related to the
amorphous (a-Si) and randomly polycrystalline (rp-Si) silicon microstructure (see below):

$$\text{SiH}_4: \sigma_{a-Si} \approx -300 \text{MPa}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\text{SiH}_4: \sigma_{rp-Si} \approx +250 \text{MPa}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

To further investigate this point, the residual stress variations with the 600°C annealing
duration were studied for the two previously defined (see section 3.2) amorphous samples
(figure 8). After the initial increase due to the dehydrogenation for the sample deposited from
disilane Si₂H₆, it appears clearly that the volume random crystallization is responsible for the
residual stress increase from compressive to tensile stress [21,29]. Since sigmoid variations
were evidenced in figures 4 and 8, the residual stress $\sigma$ of silicon films annealed at 600°C was
studied versus the polysilicon volume fraction $f_{p-Si}$ (figure 9). A linear relation was thus
evidenced for both samples:

$$\sigma = \sigma_{a-Si} + f_{p-Si}(\sigma_{rp-Si} - \sigma_{a-Si})$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

In the case of the silane SiH₄ gaseous source, the $\sigma_{a-Si}$ and $\sigma_{rp-Si}$ values are constant
whatever the deposition conditions and the residual stress $\sigma$ of silicon films depends finally
only on the polysilicon volume fraction $f_{p-Si}$:

$$\text{SiH}_4: \sigma(\text{MPa}) \approx -300 + 550f_{p-Si}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Finally, in the [5-50] V_{d}/V_{c} ratio range, it appears that continuity could exist between
the non-hydrogenated amorphous silicon films deposited from silane SiH₄ and dehydrogenated
amorphous silicon films deposited from disilane Si₂H₆ (figure 6). Assuming this continuity and
according to equations (12), (13) and (16), the residual stress of silicon films deposited from
disilane Si₂H₆ is finally given by:

$$\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6: \sigma(\text{MPa}) \approx \left(\sigma_{[H]} - 300 + 0.7 \frac{V_d}{V_c}\right) (1 - f_{p-Si}) + 425f_{p-Si}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)
Concerning the final stress value $\sigma_{rp-Si}$, the discontinuity between silane SiH$_4$ and disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ is obvious. Since the $\sigma_{rp-Si}$ values obtained for each gaseous source are constant whatever the deposition conditions, their difference should be related to LPCVD fundamentals, and therefore to the main chemical species responsible for the silicon films deposition in gaseous phase (see section 3.1).

3.3.2 Residual stress into silicon films deposited from silane SiH$_4$ ($V_d/V_c < 5$)

For the silane SiH$_4$ gaseous source ($V_d/V_c < 5$), as-deposited and annealed ($600^\circ C - 15$ hours) silicon films are characterized by typical compressive/tensile/compressive and tensile/compressive stress transitions respectively (figure 6). Such results were related to the competition between deposition and crystallization phenomena [24].

Starting from amorphous silicon (a-Si) films obtained in the [2-5] $V_d/V_c$ range (see below), the $V_d/V_c$ decrease leads to the deposition of randomly semi-crystallized silicon (s-Si) due to the polysilicon grains growth during the silicon deposition process. As already shown in figure 8, this volume random crystallization is responsible for tensile stress and therefore for a residual stress increase. Further annealing leads to the films' complete crystallization and the residual stress of annealed films reached: $\sigma_{rp-Si} = +250$ MPa (see below).

For a further $V_d/V_c$ decrease, the direct deposition of polycrystalline silicon also called surface crystallization (see hereafter) takes gradually over the volume random crystallization. Thus, the silicon microstructure becomes a mixture between randomly and columnar crystallized phases [17]. These mixed polycrystalline silicon (mp-Si) films are obtained while residual stress decreases rapidly towards compressive values (around $-500$ MPa). Since these films are almost completely crystallized as deposited, further anneal gives little stress variations.

Then, when the $V_d/V_c$ ratio keeps on decreasing, crystallization of Si-Si bonds occurs as soon as silicon atoms are adsorbed at the silicon surface. This surface crystallization is known to lead to a columnar polysilicon (cp-Si) microstructure and compressive stress
The silicon films residual stress is finally shown to be compressive, starting from \(-500\) MPa and increasing towards \(0\) MPa with the \(V_d/V_c\) ratio decrease.

### 3.4. Results synthesis

All in all, by considering that the silicon films deposition and crystallization kinetics are estimated according to equations (3) and (4), five main deposition behaviours can be defined according to the gaseous source and the \(V_d/V_c\) ratio range (table 2). These five behaviours, four for the silane \(\text{SiH}_4\) gaseous source and one for the disilane \(\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6\), can be easily represented on the related Arrhenius diagram (figure 10). These relations allow to fully characterize the properties of LPCVD silicon films (microstructure, polysilicon volume fraction, refractive index and residual stress) whatever the gaseous source (silane \(\text{SiH}_4\) or disilane \(\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6\)) and the deposition/anneal conditions.

### 4. Conclusion

Silicon films obtained by low-pressure chemical vapour deposition from silane \(\text{SiH}_4\) and disilane \(\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6\) were studied while choosing as main influent parameters the ratio \(V_d/V_c\) between the deposition and crystallization rates as well as the polysilicon volume fraction \(f_{p-Si}\). Different silicon microstructures were deposited, i.e. amorphous (a-Si), randomly semi-crystallized (s-Si), randomly polycrystallized (rp-Si), mixed-poly cristallized (mp-Si) and columnar polycrystallized (cp-Si), and the \(V_d/V_c\) ratio's major influence was highlighted, evidencing five deposition behaviours and related semi-empirical relationships. It is thus possible to anticipate the properties of LPCVD silicon films (microstructure, polysilicon volume fraction, refractive index and residual stress) according to the gaseous source (silane \(\text{SiH}_4\) or disilane \(\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6\)) and to the deposition/anneal conditions.

To have a complete understanding of the silicon films properties, the hydrogenation influence on the residual stress (see section 3.3 - \(\sigma_{[H]}\) parameter) and the final stress of random
polysilicon (see section 3.3 - $\sigma_{\text{p-Si}}$ parameter) have to be studied. This could be reached by investigating the $[5 - 50] V_d/V_c$ ratio range. For technological reasons, it is no longer possible to decrease the deposition temperature under 520°C for the silane SiH$_4$ gaseous source. Similarly, it is no longer possible to increase the deposition temperature above 520°C for the disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous source. It is still possible to reduce the disilane pressure at 520°C to values lower than 13.33 Pa but little $V_d/V_c$ decrease under 50 will be reached in this way. In fact, the solution requires performing silicon films deposition experiments at 520°C while using a SiH$_4$/Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous mixture in a specifically adapted LPCVD furnace.
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Figure 10: Silicon microstructure versus deposition and crystallization kinetics
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gaseous source</th>
<th>silane SiH$_4$</th>
<th>disilane Si$_2$H$_6$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>temperature T</td>
<td>520 – 750°C</td>
<td>420 – 520°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total pressure P</td>
<td>13.33 – 40 Pa</td>
<td>13.33 – 53.33 Pa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas flow f</td>
<td>50 – 150 sccm</td>
<td>40 – 160 sccm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wafer-to-wafer distance δ</td>
<td>10 mm</td>
<td>20 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: LPCVD deposition parameters ranges
for the silane SiH$_4$ and disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous sources
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>gaseous source and $V_d/V_c$ range</th>
<th>silicon microstructure</th>
<th>silicon film properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SiH$_4$: $V_d/V_c \leq 1$</td>
<td>columnar polysilicon</td>
<td>$f_{p-Si} \approx 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n_{830nm} \approx 3.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma (MPa) \approx -500 \exp\left[-2.6 \left(1 - \frac{V_d}{V_c}\right)^2\right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiH$_4$: $1 \leq V_d/V_c \leq 1.3$</td>
<td>mixed polysilicon</td>
<td>$f_{p-Si} \approx 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n_{830nm} \approx 3.7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma (MPa) \approx -500 + 4350 \ln \frac{V_d}{V_c} - 7500 \left(\ln \frac{V_d}{V_c}\right)^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiH$_4$: $1.3 \leq V_d/V_c \leq 1.7$</td>
<td>semi-crystallized silicon</td>
<td>$f_{p-Si} \approx \frac{\sigma (MPa) + 300}{550}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n_{830nm} \approx 4 - 0.3 f_{p-Si}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma (MPa) \approx -500 + 4350 \ln \frac{V_d}{V_c} - 7500 \left(\ln \frac{V_d}{V_c}\right)^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiH$_4$: $1.7 \leq V_d/V_c \leq 5$</td>
<td>amorphous silicon</td>
<td>$f_{p-Si} \approx 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n_{830nm} \approx 4.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma \approx -300$ MPa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si$_2$H$_6$: $50 \leq V_d/V_c$</td>
<td>amorphous silicon</td>
<td>$f_{p-Si} \approx 0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$n_{830nm} \approx 4.0$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\sigma (MPa) \approx \sigma_{[H]} - 300 + 0.7 \frac{V_d}{V_c}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: main LPCVD deposition behaviours and related semi-empirical relationships according to the gaseous source and the $V_d/V_c$ ratio range
Figure 1: Deposition kinetics for the silane $\text{SiH}_4$ and disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ gaseous sources
Figure 2: Refractive index $n_{830\text{nm}}$ versus $V_d/V_c$ ratio for as–deposited and annealed silicon films
Figure 3: Refractive index variation with annealing duration for amorphous silicon films deposited from silane SiH$_4$ and disilane Si$_2$H$_6$ gaseous sources.
Figure 4: Microstructures of silicon films for different annealing durations at 600°C for the silane SiH₄ and disilane Si₂H₆ gaseous sources
Figure 5: Refractive index $n_{830nm}$ versus polysilicon volume fraction $f_{p-Si}$
Figure 6: Residual stress $\sigma$ versus $V_d/V_c$ ratio

for as-deposited, dehydrogenated and annealed silicon films
Figure 7: Residual stress $\sigma$ versus $V_d/V_c$ ratio for as-deposited, dehydrogenated and annealed silicon films (zoom on the disilane $\text{Si}_2\text{H}_6$ gaseous source)
Figure 8: Residual stress index variation with annealing duration for amorphous silicon films deposited from silane SiH₄ and disilane Si₂H₆ gaseous sources.
Figure 9: Residual stress $\sigma$ versus polysilicon volume fraction $f_{p-Si}$.
Figure 10: Silicon microstructure versus deposition and crystallization kinetics