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ABSTRACT: DNA-directed assembly of nano-objects as a means to manufacture advanced nanomaterial architectures has been the subject of many studies. However, most applications have dealt with noble metals as there are fundamental difficulties to work with other materials. In this work, we propose a generic and systematic approach for functionalizing and characterizing oxide surfaces with single-stranded DNA oligonucleotides. This protocol is applied to aluminum and copper oxide nanoparticles due to their great interest for the fabrication of highly energetic heterogeneous nanocomposites. The surface densities of streptavidin and biotinylated DNA oligonucleotides are precisely quantified combining atomic absorption spectroscopy with conventional dynamic light scattering and fluorimetry, and maximized to provide a basis for understanding the grafting mechanism. First, the streptavidin coverage is consistently below 20% of the total surface for both nanoparticles. Second, direct and unspecific grafting of DNA single strands onto Al and CuO nanoparticles largely dominates the overall functionalization process:
~95% and 90% of all grafted DNA strands are chemisorbed on the CuO and Al nanoparticle surfaces respectively. Measurements of hybridization efficiency indicate that only ~5 and ~10% of single-stranded oligonucleotides grafted onto the CuO and Al surfaces are involved in the hybridization process, corresponding precisely to the streptavidin coverage, as evidenced by the occupancy of 0.9 and 1.2 oligonucleotides per protein. The hybridization efficiency of single-stranded oligonucleotides chemisorbed on CuO and Al without streptavidin coating decreases to only ~2%, justifying the use of streptavidin despite of its poor surface occupancy. Finally, the structure of directly-chemisorbed DNA strands onto oxide surfaces is examined and discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Over the last two decades, the use of DNA has become a mainstream approach for the nanoscale organization of nanoparticles. One such approach consists of directing the formation of well-controlled 2-D or 3-D architectures based on colloids of interest using DNA hybridization as a molecular binder. Since the seminal work by Mirkin in the 90s on gold nanoparticles, many nanoparticle self-assembly processes have been reported for applications in electronics and devices for biodiagnostics, therapeutic agents, plasmon-enhanced spectroscopy, magneto-optical sensors, and catalysis. Gold or silver nanoparticles are generally used for all these applications, taking advantage of the well-known and controlled reaction between thiol and metal surfaces. An alternative method, using the antigen/antibody interaction, has been reported to immobilize DNA strands on surfaces, notably oxide surfaces, extending the possible applications of organized and controlled heterogeneous structures of nanoparticles.
In particular, one possible and new application for the DNA nanotechnologies is the synthesis of highly energetic heterogeneous materials, i.e. containing two or more components which can react spontaneously together to deliver energy (heat). Indeed, the association of strong reducer such as aluminum with an oxidizer (in theory any metal oxide) enables an energetic material to deliver a high amount of energy under an external stimulus. The properties of these materials, called nanothermites, are highly dependent on the size of components and their distribution in the composite. The use of nanoparticles allows for a significant improvement of energetic properties but there remain important issues to control the mixing of nanoparticles at the nanoscale. In this context, the use of DNA self-assembly shows great potential for the synthesis of a new kind of nanothermites, with exquisite control of the contact surfaces between oxidizer and reducer, thereby enabling the optimization and control of energetic performances.

Preliminary work has recently demonstrated the potential of DNA to increase the energetic performances of self-assembled Al and CuO nanoparticles. In this study, the assembly was based on the direct hybridization of two complementary DNA oligonucleotides grafted by thiol and biotin functions on CuO and neutravidin-modified Al nanoparticles, respectively. In this natural state, Al nanoparticles are covered by a 3-5 nm-thick alumina layer that protects the Al core from further oxidation. This Al₂O₃ layer directly interacts with DNA , while the aluminum core remains the combustible part of the nanothermite reaction. To stress this fact, Al nanoparticles will be described as Al@Al₂O₃ in the rest of this article. Although self-assembly has been demonstrated, the optimal functionalization process and assembly conditions have not yet been determined. Notably, quantification of streptavidin or oligonucleotides binding and DNA hybridization efficiency have never been evaluated for these oxide surfaces. In order to improve the final heterogeneous structure of self-organized Al and CuO nanoparticles, it is
essential to (i) understand the physico-chemical interactions between DNA and oxide surfaces involved in this system, (ii) control the grafting of DNA oligonucleotides on nanoparticles by quantifying and measuring the amount and specificity of DNA, (iii) control the environment parameters such as the chemical parameters (salt and surfactant concentrations), physical parameters (incubation time, sonication), or the choice of sticky-end sequences during the self-assembly.

We previously demonstrated the existence of strong chemical interactions between thymidine 5’-monophosphate (one base of DNA) and Al₂O₃ surface. Numerous chemical bonds between the oxide and the nucleotide were detected not only via the phosphate backbone, as had previously been reported for several metal oxides (Fe₃O₄, CeO₂, TiO₂), but also through the base and its carbonyls functions. These results justified the strategy used to specifically graft DNA onto Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles based on the biotin-streptavidin interaction, as sketched on Figure 1. This strategy was applied to two types of nanoparticles to demonstrate the generic methodology.

In our second work, we showed that the DNA “sticky-end” sequence has a strong effect on the efficiency of strand hybridization involved in the self-assembly process, demonstrating a significant improvement of the homogeneity of the final structure of the composite.

**Figure 1.** Illustration of the functionalization process developed to enable the DNA-directed self-assembly of Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles.
We further investigate the bio-functionalization of Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles by performing, for the first time, a complete characterization of streptavidin and DNA grafting densities and hybridization efficiency on nanoparticles. The objectives of this work are to: (i) quantify streptavidin and DNA oligonucleotides coverages, (ii) optimize basic experimental parameters such as salt concentration, incubation time and degree of sonication (usually studied for gold nanoparticles) to maximize DNA loading, and (iii) determine the specificity of grafted DNAs, and quantify their concentrations and their resulting ability to hybridize to a complementary strand. This study relies on characterization of the functionalization process not only with techniques that are standard in the field of DNA-based self-assembly, such as dynamic light scattering (DLS)²⁸,³⁵,³⁶ and fluorimetry,²⁵,³²,³³,³⁶-³⁸ but also with more unconventional methods like atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) for the determination of Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles concentrations.³⁹-⁴¹ To avoid fluorescence quenching by nanoparticles (as observed for many metal oxides such ITOs)⁴², the quantification was obtained by comparing fluorescence intensity of supernatant solutions only.

Importantly, our results show that non-specific interactions dominate between DNA and Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles during functionalization, leading to a poor hybridization specificity of 5 to 10% of all grafted DNA. However, the use of streptavidin results in a significant improvement of the specificity compared to DNA oligonucleotides directly grafted on nanoparticles. The molecular investigation on the structure of grafted DNA suggests a conformation that is clearly different from the standing up arrangement obtained with gold nanoparticles. More precisely, DNA oligonucleotides seem to lay down at the surface in a complex mixed distribution. We believe that these findings and the associated methodology to quantify hybridization efficiency will support the development of novel grafting strategies to
better adapt the grafting process to the desired application. Altogether, they represent a significant step for developing DNA nanotechnologies dealing with metal oxide materials.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Reagents.

NaCl powder, monobasic and dibasic sodium NaH$_2$PO$_4$ and NaHPO$_4^-$ powders, phosphate-buffered saline 10X (denoted PBS), and surfactant Tween 20 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Phosphate buffer (denoted PB, 0.2 M) at pH 7 was obtained by mixing monobasic and dibasic powders (39% vol. and 61% vol., respectively). NaCl solutions (2 M) were prepared by dissolution of NaCl powder in ultra-pure water.

Streptavidin protein with and without fluorescent dye Cy3 was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Sequences of biotinylated-oligonucleotides were designed as detailed in $^{29}$ and are reported in Table 1. FAM-labelled biotinylated-oligonucleotides were purchased from Eurogentec in a dried form and then diluted as received in ultra-pure water concentrated at 0.5 mM. The spacer is made of $x$ repeated thymines (denoted -(T)$_x$ in Table 1).

Table 1. Sequences of oligonucleotides functionalized with biotin and fluorescein amidite (FAM) used in this work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence (5’ to 3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ss-A$_x$</td>
<td>Biotin-(T)$_x$-ACA-TCG-CCC-CGC-CT-6FAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ss-B$_x$</td>
<td>Biotin-(T)$_x$-AGG-CGG-GGC-GAT-GT-6FAM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CuO nanopowders (50 nm, faceted nanoparticles) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Al@Al₂O₃ nanopowders (70 nm, spherical Al nanoparticles coated with an alumina shell) were purchased from US Research Nanomaterials (Austin, TX). Morphological and size distribution characterizations are reported in SI, Figure S1.

**Preparation of colloidal suspensions and self-assembly process.**

Al@Al₂O₃ (14.5 mg) and CuO (13 mg) nanopowders were separately suspended in an aqueous solution composed of 10 mM PB (pH = 7.3, limiting nanoparticle oxidation) and 0.05 vol.% Tween 20 in ultra-pure de-ionized water. Tween 20 was chosen as a surfactant to increase nanoparticles stability and because it does not interfere with biological interactions (an important point when considering the biotin-streptavidin complexation). The nanoparticles were then placed on a shaker and sonicated for 8 min. The mass of the Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO powders and the sonication time were optimized using a Doehlert Design of Experiment. The nanoparticle concentration was then determined from the hydrodynamic diameter of nanoparticles measured by DLS and Cu and Al@Al₂O₃ mass concentrations measured by AAS (see SI, Figure S2 for calculation method). For the calculation of nanoparticle concentrations, note that colloidal suspensions are assimilated to monodisperse spherical particles with a diameter corresponding to the mean hydrodynamic diameter measured by DLS. The final solution composition (buffer, salt and surfactant concentration) was optimized to maximize nanoparticle concentration and minimize their hydrodynamic diameter (see SI, Figure S3). All sets of experiments showed very good repeatability of the dispersion protocol and colloid preparation, despite the high number of parameters involved in the process (see SI, Figure S4).
After a sedimentation time of 24 h, 20 mL of optimized supernatant of each colloidal suspension was re-suspended in a centrifuge tube. After the addition of 30 µL of streptavidin (1 mg/mL), the solutions were homogenized by vortexing for 10 s and then sonicated for 5 min. After an incubation period of 8 h, the solution was rinsed with aqueous buffer (PBS 0.1X, 0.05 vol.% Tween 20 and pure water) to remove excess protein and to slightly increase the ionic concentration (so as to screen negative repulsive charges of DNA strands added in the next step). Rinsing involved three consecutive steps of centrifugation at 10,000 G for 10 min and dispersion by sonication for 5 min. Note that after the rinsing step, only 10% of the nanoparticles are lost in both the Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO colloidal suspensions.

10 µL of biotinylated DNA at a concentration of 0.5 mM was subsequently added to the 20 mL colloidal suspensions. After an incubation time of 8 h, the solution was rinsed with an aqueous buffer (PBS 0.1X, 0.05 vol.% Tween 20 and pure water) to remove excess DNA.

Hydrodynamic diameters and zeta potentials of each Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO suspension before and after biological species functionalization are reported in SI, Table S5. Any clear morphological modification was detected by SEM imaging after functionalization of nanoparticles (see SI, Figure S6).

A control experiment was prepared with colloidal suspensions of CuO and Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles coated with DNA strands without streptavidin coating. The previously described parameters were used: 1 µL of DNA at a concentration of 0.5 mM was added to 1 mL of CuO and Al@Al₂O₃ colloidal suspensions. After an incubation time of 24 h, the solution was rinsed in an aqueous buffer (PBS 0.1X, 0.05% vol:vol Tween 20 and pure water). Note that identical
sequences of the DNA strands (reported in Table 1, i.e. with the biotin function) were used for the control experiment.

After functionalization, DNA-modified Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles were mixed in “stoichiometric” proportion, i.e. equimolar of number of nanoparticles. Typically, 500 of mixed colloidal solutions were prepared with a volume ratio of 2.10 for CuO:Al. NaCl salt was added to the solution in order to reach a final concentration of 35 mM. According to our previous work, this concentration limits unspecific interactions, i.e. interactions between nanoparticles despite of presence of DNA. In order to confirm the functionalization of nanoparticles and efficiency of DNA hybridization, a control experiment was realized with colloidal suspensions of Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles without complementary strands (ss-A₁₅ was grafted on both nanoparticles). Aggregation kinetics obtained by DLS measurements were compared and SEM imaging of nanocomposites obtained after self-assembling nanoparticles by depositing one drop on a nickel TEM grid. Results are reported in SI, Figure S7.

Nanoparticle characterization.

Atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) was performed on an A Analyst 200 from PerkinElmer Instruments equipped with an acetylene flame for CuO colloids and a mix of acetylene and nitrous oxide for Al@Al₂O₃ colloids to determine the concentrations of nanoparticles in the colloidal suspensions. A Zetasizer Nano ZS instrument (Malvern Instruments) was used to determine the nanoparticle hydrodynamic diameters by DLS, and zeta potentials were determined by Doppler laser electrophoresis. The signal of a He/Ne laser emitting at 632.8 nm interacts with a measurement cell of 150 µL or 850 µL for hydrodynamic or zeta potential analyses, respectively. All zeta potential and hydrodynamic diameter measurements were
performed at 25 °C and at a pH of 7.3. Fluorescence measurements were performed in a Varioskan Flash instrument using 96-well flat-bottom plates able to contain 400 µL of solution. All procedures involving fluorescence were conducted in the dark to prevent photobleaching of the fluorescein and Cy3 dyes. The excitation wavelengths were fixed at 550 nm and 495 nm for Cy3-labelled streptavidin and FAM-labelled oligonucleotides, respectively, and the corresponding emission spectra were recorded for wavelengths greater than 570 and 518 nm. The fluorescence intensity of control samples was measured to determine the streptavidin and oligonucleotide concentrations in solution by radiometry.

**Quantification of DNA and streptavidin surface densities.**

The overall quantification procedure is detailed in Figure 2, covering three steps of interest to determine streptavidin surface density, DNA surface density and hybridization efficiency. Fluorescence analyses are based on indirect measurements: only supernatant fluorescence was measured in order to avoid quenching induced by the presence of nanoparticles. However, quantification requires prior knowledge of the Al@Al2O3 and CuO nanoparticle concentrations; 1 µL of HCl was added to 1 mL of CuO colloidal suspension to dissolve the particles, and 6.5 µL of HNO3 was added to 10 mL of Al@Al2O3 colloidal suspensions to dissolve the particles. The concentrations of Cu and Al@Al2O3 nanoparticles were then determined by AAS.
Figure 2. Schematic representation of the process developed for the determination of (a) streptavidin surface density on CuO or Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, (b) the DNA surface density on CuO or Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles and (c) the hybridization efficiency on CuO or Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles.

Quantification of streptavidin surface density.

1 µL of Cy3-labeled streptavidin was added to 500 µL of colloidal suspensions. After an incubation of 8 h, the solutions were centrifuged, and the fraction of unbound streptavidin
was measured in the supernatant. The difference in streptavidin concentration before and after centrifugation was used to deduce the amount of bound streptavidin, which was then normalized by the quantity of nanoparticles determined by AAS.

**Quantification of DNA surface density.**

1 µL of FAM-oligonucleotides (ss-Ax) was added to 500 µL of colloidal suspensions of streptavidin-modified nanoparticles. After an incubation of 8 h, the solutions were centrifuged, and the fluorescence intensity of the supernatant was measured. The quantity of DNA grafted on the nanoparticles was then obtained from the difference between the initial DNA concentration (using a control sample) and the DNA concentration in the supernatant, which was finally normalized by the quantity of nanoparticles determined by AAS. This protocol is summarized in **Figure 2b.** Note that the reversibility of the streptavidin/ biotin bonding was evaluated by heating the solutions containing functionalized Al@Al_{2}O_{3} and CuO nanoparticles in a SDS 0.1% solvent for 10 min. The fluorescence intensity was measured in the supernatant after centrifugation of colloids but no signal was detected. In contrast, the same experiment conducted with streptavidin-functionalized micrometric polymeric beads showed the reversibility of the biotin-streptavidin bond under the same conditions. This observation suggests that the released DNA could be adsorbed on the nanoparticle surface as soon as the biotin-streptavidin bond breaks.

**DNA hybridization efficiency characterization.**

1 µL of FAM-labelled oligonucleotides (ss-Bx) was added to 500 µL of Al@Al_{2}O_{3} and CuO colloidal suspensions previously functionalized with non-fluorescent ss-Ax strands. The NaCl concentration was then set to 35 mM to enable the hybridization of the FAM-labelled ss-Bx with the ss-Ax grafted on the Al@Al_{2}O_{3} and CuO nanoparticles. After a few hours, the colloids were
centrifuged and re-suspended in 500 µL of PBS 0.1X, Tween 0.05 vol.% and 35 mM NaCl to remove excess DNA strands. After rinsing, the solutions were finally heated to 80 °C for 5 min to de-hybridize the ss-Bx strands. The fluorescence intensity of the supernatant containing the released ss-Bx strands was measured, and the quantity of DNA strands grafted on the nanoparticles was calculated (see Figure 2c).

**Statistical Analysis.**

The loading of streptavidin and DNA strands on both Al@Al2O3 and CuO nanoparticles were conducted three times independently for each data point presented in the Results section. Standard deviations were obtained by propagation of uncertainty. Uncertainties of each parameter measured to calculate the grafting density were obtained by repeating the measurement three times for each individual sample. The nanoparticle concentrations with their standard deviations were obtained by calculating the average of five independent colloidal suspensions. The effect of rinsing on colloid concentrations was taken into account: a loss of 10% of the nanoparticle concentration is included after both streptavidin and DNA rinsing steps. Regular measurements of random isolated samples were conducted to check the consistency of calculated nanoparticle concentrations.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Streptavidin and DNA loadings quantification**

As for most biological processes, quantification of assembly methods requires a systematic approach. For this purpose, we first present the dependence of streptavidin and DNA grafting densities on basic process parameters (such as incubation time, salt concentration, and sonication...
parameters) with an initial aim to find an optimized set of parameters to maximize the loading of DNA or proteins on these nano-objects.

The preliminary characterization consists in measuring the physical parameters of Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO colloidal suspensions before any type of surface modification by DLS and AAS analyses. First, it is important to note the morphological differences between commercialized CuO and Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, as illustrated in SI, Figure S1. CuO nanoparticles are small, nonspherical and highly facetted, whereas Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles are perfectly spherical with a smooth surface. For CuO colloids, the average hydrodynamic diameter of nanoparticles is 185 ± 5 nm, and the nanoparticle concentration is (5.7 ± 0.7) × 10⁹ nanoparticles.mL⁻¹, calculated using the value of Cu concentration obtained by AAS (100 ± 10 mg.L⁻¹, see Methods section). For Al@Al₂O₃ colloids, the average diameter is 195 ± 5 nm, and the nanoparticle concentration is (1.2 ± 0.1) × 10¹⁰ nanoparticles.mL⁻¹ given the Al@Al₂O₃ concentration of 125 ± 10 mg.mL⁻¹. Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO suspensions both exhibit high absolute zeta potentials of -50.2 ± 1.0 mV and -46.3 ± 1.8 mV, respectively, indicating a very good stability of the colloids (see Methods section). This negative charge could be mainly caused by large adsorption of phosphate on oxide surfaces, as observed for Fe₃O₄, CeO₂ or TiO₂.¹⁰,³²,³³

**Attachment of streptavidin on nanoparticles.**

The streptavidin surface density is first measured as a function of incubation time from 1 to 30 h and reported in Figure 3a for both Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO colloids, following the procedure summarized in Methods section. The amount of streptavidin per nanoparticle appears to be relatively stable with approximately (6.4 ± 0.9) × 10¹¹ and (4.4 ± 0.7) × 10¹¹ proteins.cm⁻² for the CuO and Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, respectively. Since the size of streptavidin is 4 × 4.2 × 5
nm$^3$,$^{43}$ the surface coverage ratio is inferred to be $\sim$11% and $\sim$6% for CuO and Al@Al$_2$O$_3$ respectively. These results are consistent with Castelino’s study$^{25}$ that reported a surface loading of $4.6 \times 10^{11}$ antigen molecules per cm$^2$ on streptavidin-functionalized gold surfaces, using a biotin-modified antigen detection. However, the reason for the difference of streptavidin loading between Al@Al$_2$O$_3$ and CuO nanoparticles must be taken with caution. The roughness and faceting of CuO nanoparticles as well as their aggregation propensity (see SI, Figure S1), lead to an underestimation of the total surface available as we approximate nanoparticles as hard spheres (implied by DLS measurement). Nevertheless, these results confirmed the fast action of non-specific interaction of streptavidin on solid surfaces, which takes place within an hour. For all experiments thereafter, the incubation time is set to 8 h to improve the repeatability from one batch to another.

**Figure 3.** Streptavidin surface density on CuO (red circles, orange bars) and Al (black circles, grey bars) nanoparticles as a function of (a) incubation time in PB at 10 mM and Tween 20 surfactant at 0.05% vol:vol; (b) salt concentration with 8 h incubation time; (c) sonication time prior to the incubation set to 8 h in same solvent as (a). Each data point is obtained by repeating each experiment three times. Error bars are calculated by propagation of uncertainty, determined for each parameter in the calculation of streptavidin surface density. Note that this procedure is applied to every dataset plotted in this report.
The streptavidin surface density as a function of NaCl concentration is presented in Figure 3b for Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO colloids. Salt is added to the colloidal suspensions at concentrations ranging from 0 to 140 mM while maintaining the same nanoparticle and streptavidin concentration. We observe that Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO colloidal suspensions behave differently. In the case of CuO, the streptavidin surface density remains stable and constant at ~6.3 × 10¹¹ proteins.cm⁻² (~710 proteins per nanoparticle) for NaCl concentrations in the range of 0-70 mM, corresponding to a streptavidin coverage ratio of 10.6 ± 1.9%. Above 70 mM, the protein density decreases by a factor of 2. This increase in salt concentration also leads to a decrease in zeta potential from -46.3 ± 1.8 to -20.5 ± 0.9 mV, indicating that the inter-particle repulsion is reduced, thus favoring irreversible aggregations between nanoparticles. Consequently, the available specific surface is lower, and the streptavidin density is reduced.

For Al@Al₂O₃ colloids, the effect of salt concentration is even more pronounced: the maximum surface density is ~3.6 × 10¹¹ proteins.cm⁻² (~620 proteins per nanoparticle) without salt, and it decreases to ~2.0 × 10¹¹ proteins.cm⁻² and to 0.9 × 10¹¹ proteins.cm⁻² for concentrations of 15 and 70 mM NaCl, respectively. Without salt, the streptavidin coverage ratio is estimated to be 6.0 ± 0.9%. The slight increase of the coverage observed at 140 mM could be explained by a more pronounced adsorption of streptavidin on the Al₂O₃ surface because of the reduction of repulsive charges between nanoparticles and streptavidin (which compensates for the lower available surface of aggregated nanoparticles). At this stage, we can conclude that streptavidin loading is optimal without salt for both colloids. As reported in the SI, Figure S6, no clear surface modification of the surface is detected by SEM analysis for both colloids.

The effect of sonication at the first stages of streptavidin incubation is presented in Figure 3c. After 5 min of sonication, the streptavidin surface density increases nearly two-fold from 6.3 to
$11.1 \times 10^{11}$ proteins.cm$^{-2}$ (\(\sim\)710 to 1250 proteins per nanoparticle) and from $4.3 \times 10^{11}$ proteins.cm$^{-2}$ (620 to 830 proteins per nanoparticle) for CuO and Al@Al$_2$O$_3$ colloids, respectively. Such a sonication-induced increase in streptavidin coverage is in agreement with previous works on DNA loading,\(^{37}\) and prevents nanoparticle aggregation that typically hinders further reaction at the surface. Therefore, the streptavidin coating is found to be maximized after 5 min of sonication in PB at 10 mM and Tween 20 at 0.05 vol\%, and the streptavidin surface coverage ratio plateaus at 19 \(\pm\) 4\% and 9 \(\pm\) 2\% for the CuO and Al@Al$_2$O$_3$ nanoparticles, respectively. Considering the sticky property of streptavidin,\(^{44}\) these surface coverages appear to be much less important than expected. At this stage, it could be due to repulsive interactions between nanoparticles and proteins, both negatively charged in PB solvent.

Overall, the optimal set of basic parameters (salt and surfactant concentrations, sonication) is composed of an incubation time of 8 h, an aqueous solvent composed of 10 mM PB and 0.05 vol.\% of surfactant Tween 20, and 5 min of sonication prior to the incubation.

**Attachment of biotinylated single-stranded DNA on streptavidin-coated nanoparticles.**

Starting from Al@Al$_2$O$_3$ and CuO suspensions functionalized with streptavidin under optimal conditions, Figure 4 shows the surface densities of DNA strands grafted through their biotin moiety as a function of incubation time, NaCl concentration, and oligonucleotide length. Note that nanoparticles functionalized with streptavidin are dispersed in PBS 0.1X (PB 10 mM and NaCl concentration of 15 mM) and Tween 20 at 0.05 vol.\% in order to favor the complexation of biotin-modified DNA strands on streptavidin (see the Methods section). Three types of single-stranded oligonucleotides are considered, \(ss-A_x\) is composed of a spacer containing \(x\) repeated thymines, with \(x = 0, 15\) and 30 (See Table 1). Since 5 min sonication at the beginning of the
incubation increases the DNA surface density, this step is always incorporated in our functionalization protocol. Note that identical sequences are used with and without streptavidin.

**Figure 4a** shows the biotinylated single-stranded DNA (ss-\(A_x\)) surface density as a function of incubation time from 1 to 30 h. The grafting densities appear to be independent of the incubation time at \((4.0 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{13}\) and \((8.5 \pm 2.8) \times 10^{12}\) strands.cm\(^{-2}\) for CuO and Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles, respectively. These surface densities are similar to values published in the literature for a range of substrates. For example, Hurst *et al.* report a DNA surface concentration of \(9 \text{ to } 12 \times 10^{12}\) strands.cm\(^{-2}\) (15 to 20 pmol.cm\(^{-2}\)) on gold nanoparticles with diameters ranging from 150 to 250 nm.\(^{37}\) Notably, the grafting density on CuO nanoparticles is 4 to 5 times greater than on Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticles, despite their smaller hydrodynamic diameter. This can be partly explained by the higher streptavidin coverage on the CuO surface and the approximation of CuO nanoparticles as perfect spheres, as mentioned before. As for the streptavidin coating, this set of experiments shows that the biotinylated DNA grafting process is rapid and takes place in less than 1 h. Since there is no time dependence, an incubation time of 8 h was selected to maintain a constant set of parameters for all experiments.

**Figure 4.** ss-\(A_{30}\) surface density on CuO (red circles, orange bars) and Al nanoparticles (black circles, grey bars) as a function of (a) incubation time in PBS 0.1X and Tween 20 at 0.05 vol.% (b) salt concentration, after 8 h of incubation time; (c) the length of the spacer after 8 h of
incubation in same solvent than (a). Sonication of 5 min is performed at the beginning of the incubation for all experiments.

As shown in Figure 4b, the ss-\(A_{30}\) strand surface density strongly depends on the NaCl concentration. For CuO colloids, the DNA surface densities are \((4.2 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{13}\), \((2.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{13}\), and \((2.6 \pm 1.7) \times 10^{12}\) strands.cm\(^{-2}\) for NaCl concentrations of 15, 70 and 150 mM, respectively. For Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) colloids, the DNA surface density decreases from \((1.2 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{13}\) to \((8.6 \pm 1.8) \times 10^{12}\) strands.cm\(^{-2}\) for NaCl concentrations of 15 and 70 mM, respectively. Note that we could not detect DNA on Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticle surfaces for 150 mM NaCl.

Interestingly, this trend is opposite to that reported in the literature for gold colloids. In general, higher salt concentrations make it possible to reach higher DNA grafting because of the reduction of repulsive negative forces between DNA strands. For instance, an optimized NaCl concentration of 700 mM has been reported for Gold NPs.\(^{37}\) In contrast, for our nanoparticles, we find that the optimized NaCl concentration is 15 mM. Similar experiments conducted without surfactant confirmed this conclusion, but all DNA grafting densities were smaller regardless of the NaCl concentration, justifying the use of surfactant (results not shown).

The NaCl concentration is a key parameter to stabilize Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and CuO colloidal suspensions, and the reduction of the repulsive forces between DNA strands contributes marginally to the grafting of DNA on the nanoparticles. Increasing the NaCl concentration leads to the rapid aggregation of nanoparticles and hence limits the surface available for DNA grafting. In fact, salt-induced aging procedures of colloids lead to an irreversible aggregation of nanoparticles in our system, because of their high sensitivity to the NaCl concentration despite a small increase of the ionic concentration (from 15 to 70 mM in increments of 10 mM, not shown). Figure 4b also shows that, regardless of NaCl concentration, the number of DNA
strands grafted on CuO nanoparticles is greater than that on Al particles. This can be partially explained by the underestimation of the available surface area of CuO nanoparticles, approximated as perfect spheres.

We now investigate the impact of the single-stranded oligonucleotide length on the DNA grafting density. For this purpose, the DNA grafting step is performed in PBS 0.1X and Tween 20 (0.05 vol.%) preceded by 5 min of sonication and with an incubation time of 8 h. No additional NaCl is added. Figure 4c shows that the DNA surface density is independent of the spacer length. For CuO nanoparticles, we estimate that ~23,000 strands are attached to nanoparticles (2.1 to 2.2 × 10^{13} strand.cm^{-2}), i.e. more than 20 times the amount of streptavidin available on the surface (~1,250 proteins per nanoparticle, see Figure 4a). Our results are not consistent with observations for gold colloids, where an increase in spacer lengths leads to a decrease in DNA grafting densities.\textsuperscript{25,37} Therefore, the loading of CuO nanoparticles is nearly three times higher than the loading measured for gold colloids (8,500 oligonucleotides composed of 25 bases on 200 nm gold nanoparticles).\textsuperscript{36} Given the fraction of free CuO surface after streptavidin coating (considered as available surface for direct DNA grafting), we deduce that ~ 95\% of the ss-A\textsubscript{x} strands are directly chemisorbed on CuO and that the remaining ~ 5\% is grafted via the biotin moiety on the streptavidin sites. Note that theoretically, each streptavidin can host up to four biotin groups in solution; this number decreases to around two after streptavidin grafting.

Similarly, for Al@Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} nanoparticles, the DNA surface density ranges from 6,000 to 7,500 strands per nanoparticle (4.5 to 5.7 × 10^{12} strands.cm^{-2}) with a small, yet noticeable, increase when the spacer is composed of 30 T (x = 30). This number of strands is also greater than the number of streptavidin available on Al@Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surfaces (equal to ~830 ± 120 proteins per
nanoparticle, see Figure 4b). We deduce that ~90% of the ss-\(A_x\) strands are directly chemisorbed on Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) nanoparticle surface, and that the remaining ~10% of ss-\(A_x\) strands are attached on streptavidin sites. Overall, the non-specific chemisorption reaction is by far the most efficient for DNA binding on oxide surfaces, despite the repulsive and steric forces induced by the negative charges of the molecular DNA strands. This result is consistent with chemisorption reaction of DNA with alumina surfaces that we reported in a previous work.\(^{31}\)

The difference between the measured DNA surface densities of Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and CuO nanoparticles is too large (three times higher) to be explained solely by an underestimation of the total surface of CuO nanoparticles. It suggests that DNA interacts differently on both oxide surfaces. This point is discussed in the next section regarding the hydrodynamic radii before and after DNA grafting.

**Characterization of hybridization efficiency.**

We quantified the hybridization efficiency, as defined by the amount of complementary DNA single-strands that are bound to nanoparticles by fluorescence intensity measurements (see the Methods section). Briefly, the complementary DNA single strands can either hybridize or simply stick on the oxide surface, typically with higher binding energy for the latter process. Then, annealing above the hybridization melting temperature releases the hybridized fluorescent DNA strands, allowing their quantification by examining the change in fluorescence before and after annealing. Such quantification is important to develop a controlled and reliable DNA-mediated Al@Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and CuO assembly process, and to provide the basis for a fundamental understanding of the assembly mechanisms.
Single-stranded oligonucleotides with the *ss-B* \_x\_“sticky-end” sequence are added to the colloidal suspensions of Al@Al\_2O\_3 and CuO nanoparticles, both previously functionalized with the complementary “sticky-end” sequence *ss-A* \_x\_. After an incubation time of 8 h, the number of *ss-B* \_x\_ strands hybridized to *ss-A* \_x\_ is measured; the solution is then heated at 80°C for 5 min to dehybridize *ss-B* \_x\_ and the number of de-hybridized strands is measured again for normalization. This temperature is sufficient to ensure a de-hybridization of complementary strands, as demonstrated previously by studying the thermal behavior of Al-CuO nanocomposites under 70 °C,\(^{34}\) (reported in SI Figure S3). However, this temperature is believed not to release oligonucleotides directly grafted on the nanoparticle surface, i.e. there is no disaggregation of the nanostructures. Indeed, our previous study suggested an increase of adsorbed DNA with temperature on Al\_2O\_3 surfaces,\(^{31}\) further corroborating the choice of the temperature.

As shown in Figure 5a and 5b, the hybridization efficiency is independent of the spacer length and constant at ~5% and ~11% for CuO and Al@Al\_2O\_3 nanoparticles, respectively. Importantly, the hybridization efficiency for each colloid corresponds exactly to the percentage of *ss-A* \_x\_ attached to streptavidin sites, assuming that only one *ss-A* \_x\_ is fixed on each streptavidin. Indeed, the streptavidin occupancy ratio, defined as the number of DNA strands specifically grafted by their biotin moiety per streptavidin (corresponding to the number of hybridized strands), is equal to 115 ± 22% and 91 ± 18% for CuO and Al@Al\_2O\_3 nanoparticles, respectively. Therefore, these hybridization efficiencies are very low, putting in question the efficiency of the self-assembly. However, the hybridization between specifically grafted complementary DNA oligonucleotides is dominant compared to non-specific interactions in the overall self-assembly process, as previously demonstrated.\(^{34}\) Briefly, aggregation kinetics and SEM images of the self-assembled composites obtained with or without complementary DNA strands are presented in SI Figure S7.
Figure 5. Summary of surface densities of ss-DNA and Streptavidin and Hybridization Efficiencies for (a) CuO nanoparticles and (b) Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, using three spacer lengths (x = 0, 15 and 30 T). Summary of surface densities of ss-DNA and Hybridization Efficiencies for (c) CuO nanoparticles and (d) Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles without streptavidin coating. Colloids are prepared following the optimized protocol: the buffers contain PB 10 mM and Tween 20 0.05% vol:vol for Streptavidin coating and PBS 0.1X and Tween 20 0.05% vol:vol for DNA grafting. Incubation times are 8 h for streptavidin and DNA, and 5 min of sonication is performed prior to the incubation. The NaCl concentration is 35 mM. Rounded
hybridization efficiencies are noted in brackets directly on the graph. Exact values are reported in SI, Table S8. Identical axes parameters are used to underline differences between nanoparticles.

The role of streptavidin coating is now assessed by comparing the hybridization efficiency with and without streptavidin molecules adsorbed on the nanoparticles (Figure 5c and 5d), using identical sequences (see Table 1). The DNA surface density and the hybridization efficiency of ss-Aₓ strands directly grafted on the Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticle surfaces are measured following exactly the same protocol (without the presence of streptavidin).

The results reveal that, without the streptavidin coating, CuO nanoparticles contain roughly three times more ss-Aₓ DNA molecules on their surface than Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles. Despite this difference in ss-Aₓ surface density, the hybridization efficiencies are comparable (~2 ± 1%).

These low hybridization efficiencies imply that the non-specific DNA strand immobilization is associated with a poor functionality of the molecules for hybridization, as discussed below. This result therefore confirms the relevance of the biotin/streptavidin functionalization strategy for oxide surfaces, which ensures the DNA functionality since the biotin/streptavidin bond leads to better hybridization efficiency.

These observations can be understood by quantifying the surface densities and the arrangement of the adsorbed DNA molecules with and without streptavidin, i.e. a well-organized standing up brush vs a chaotic intermixed strand. First, the determination of DNA footprint around nanoparticles (defined by the area occupied by one strand assuming a uniform distribution of grafted strands in a dense brush conformation)³⁶ provides another insight into the DNA arrangement on streptavidin-free nanoparticle surfaces. On CuO, each ss-Aₓ covers 3.9, 3.7 and 2.9 nm² for a strand length of 15, 30 and 45 bases, respectively. These footprints are five times
less than the footprint for 25 bases oligonucleotides grafted on 200 nm gold nanoparticles of 15 ± 2 nm² in a brush conformation. In contrast, on Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, the footprint is closer but still smaller to that reported for gold nanoparticles of 7.1, 12.0 and 13.9 nm² for a DNA strand of 15, 30 and 45 bases, respectively. The denser arrangement obtained for metal oxides nanoparticles, compared to that of gold nanoparticles, suggests that the conformation of DNA is not simply a brush conformation, i.e. standing-up on the surface, but a more complex arrangement where strands are probably partially laying down on the surface.

These footprints can further be analyzed using the hydrodynamic radius of nanoparticles, as inferred from Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS). Results are given in Figure 6 as a function of DNA length with or without streptavidin coating. They are compared to a theoretical value calculated by considering the DNA strand with a rod-like orientation on the surface (approximately 10 bases/3 nm). Note that the reference value also increases by 5nm when there is streptavidin on the surface.

**Figure 6.** Increase of hydrodynamic radii of Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO colloidal suspensions after DNA functionalization (a) without or (b) with the streptavidin coating step, as a function of DNA length.
DNA strand length, and compared to a reference value considering a dense brush model for the conformation of grafted strands.

First, without streptavidin on the nanoparticle surfaces (Figure 6a), the radius of the CuO nanoparticles slightly increases by $2.3 \pm 0.8$, $4.3 \pm 1.5$ nm, and $5 \pm 1.5$ nm after functionalization with 15, 30 and 45-base oligonucleotides, respectively. For all oligonucleotide lengths, the increase is lower than expected (reference values: 5.5 nm, 10 nm and 14.5 nm respectively). However, the same relationship is observed for experimental and reference values, i.e. a slight increase of the radius with the length of the oligonucleotide. These lower measured lengths suggest that DNA strands are partially folded on the surface in a dense, possibly multilayer, network, the complexity of which increases with the number of bases. In contrast, for Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles, the radius surprisingly decreases slightly from $4.2 \pm 1.1$ nm to $1.7 \pm 1.7$ nm, suggesting that the degree of adsorption, and hence of spreading, increases with the length of the strand. Nevertheless, these results confirm that the “sticky-ends” of the strands are either partially or fully bound to the surface of both nanoparticles, thereby altering the efficiency of hybridization (see Figure 5).

In contrast, with streptavidin grafted on the surface (see Figure 6b), results are more consistent with brush models for both types of nanoparticles, confirming the rod-like orientation of DNA strands grafted by their biotin moiety onto the proteins. Note that the slightly lower values obtained for DNA lengths of 30 and 45 bases grafted on Al@Al₂O₃ nanoparticles ($7 \pm 5$ nm and $12 \pm 6$ nm compared to expected values of 15 and 20 nm) could be attributed to a partial folding of strands on the surface due to the mutual attractive forces between the strands and the nanoparticle surface. Since the hybridization efficiency is not affected, it is possible that only the
“bottom” part of the strand (i.e. the spacer part, closest to the nanoparticle) is folded on the surface.

In summary, we demonstrated that DNA exhibits multiple grafting modes on reactive oxide surfaces such as alumina and copper oxides pre-functionalized with streptavidin: (i) a major part of the DNA strands (~90 and 95%) are directly chemisorbed on the surface of Al@Al₂O₃ or CuO nanoparticles through non-specific binding, with a higher coverage on CuO nanoparticles, and these molecules are predominantly lying on the surface in a disordered manner; (ii) a smaller fraction of the DNA strands is specifically grafted on streptavidin sites by their biotin moiety, and these molecules stand upright and can therefore be hybridized through their functional “sticky-end” parts. These two modes are illustrated in Figure 7. However, it appears necessary to pre-functionalize the nanoparticles surfaces to avoid non-specific interactions and to ensure a total control of the self-assembled structure, opening the door to further developments.
Figure 7. Schematic view of the two different biotinylated single-stranded DNA (ss-Ax) grafting modes: (i) attached to the biotin group (red strands) via the streptavidin moiety represented in yellow. These strands are functional and can hybridize with complementary strands (green strands), (ii) higher DNA strand quantity grafted directly on the free oxide surface not being able to hybridize with their complementary green strands.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

We described a robust and reproducible method to disperse and stabilize CuO and Al@Al2O3 nanoparticles in an aqueous solvent, and to functionalize them with streptavidin and biotinylated single-stranded DNA molecules. As presented in this paper, a careful control of the selected process parameters is required to maximize the DNA surface density. We find that (1) the NaCl concentration has to be in the range of 15-30 mM to avoid irreversible nanoparticle aggregation and (2) gentle sonication during the early stage of streptavidin incubation allows for the increase of streptavidin loading by approximately 175% and 135% for the CuO and Al@Al2O3.
nanoparticles, respectively. The DNA strand length has no noticeable impact on the DNA grafting density. We show that direct (non-specific) grafting of DNA onto Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles largely dominates the overall functionalization process; streptavidin only covers a small area of the Al@Al₂O₃ and CuO nanoparticles (5-10%) but biotinylated DNA is effectively grafted at these sites, promoting a rod-like orientation. We experimentally confirm that the strong chemical affinity of DNA bases with both copper oxide and alumina surfaces leads to a lack of control of the conformation of the immobilized strands onto the oxide surfaces. The higher density of grafted oligonucleotides observed for CuO suggests a partially spread-out conformation compared to the completely spread-out strands on Al. Finally, we quantitatively demonstrate the crucial role of the antigen/antibody protocol to preserve the DNA ability to hybridize, doubling the hybridization efficiency. These non-specific interactions that dominate the functionalization process do not totally hinder the self-assembly process. These results suggest that 5% of hybridization efficiency (more than 500 sites per nanoparticle, largely sufficient for the number of hybridization events needed for a self-assembly) is enough to assure a DNA self-assembly. However, further developments are expected to increase the streptavidin coverage and/or to limit DNA adsorption on the surface by developing complementary surface functionalization to cover free surface. This work provides the quantitative basis to guide further developments for optimizing DNA functional grafting on complex oxide surfaces.
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