Ring filter synthesis and its BiCMOS 60 GHz implementation
Pedro Rynkiewicz, Anne-Laure Franc, Fabio Coccetti, Matthias Wietstruck, Christian Wipf, Selin Tolunay Wipf, Mehmet Kaynak, Gaëtan Prigent

To cite this version:
Pedro Rynkiewicz, Anne-Laure Franc, Fabio Coccetti, Matthias Wietstruck, Christian Wipf, et al.. Ring filter synthesis and its BiCMOS 60 GHz implementation. International Journal of Microwave and Wireless Technologies, Cambridge University Press/European Microwave Association 2018, 10 (03), pp.291 - 300. <10.1017/S1759078718000156>. <hal-01924812>

HAL Id: hal-01924812
https://hal.laas.fr/hal-01924812
Submitted on 29 Nov 2018

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
Ring filter synthesis and its BiCMOS 60-GHz implementation

Pedro Rynkiewicz¹, Anne-Laure Franc¹, Fabio Coccetti², Matthias Wietstruck³, Mehmet Kaynak³, Gaëtan Prigent¹

¹ LAPLACE, University of Toulouse, CNRS, INPT, UPS France.
² RF Microtech France, Toulouse, France.
³ IHP, Im Technologiepark 25, 15236 Frankfurt (Oder), Germany.

The detailed synthesis of a direct access ring filter topology fully controlled with the following targeted specification (center frequency, low transmission zero frequency and matching level in the passband) is hereby presented. For this topology, the lowest achievable bandwidth is limited by technological constraints. Thereby a solution consisting in adding capacitive loads is proposed. The associated synthesis is also given and discussed. Both syntheses are illustrated with 60 GHz integrated planar filters implemented in the IHP 130 nm BiCMOS technology. Various 3-dB fractional bandwidths from 18% to 8% are targeted, some of them require the implementation of the capacitive loaded solution. The latter allows us to lower the bandwidth limit of the nominal topology as well as to get a high miniaturization, up to 3.4, depending on the capacitance value. Thanks to good measurement results, this implementation highlights the high efficiency, reliability and versatility of the synthesis without the need of tuning simulations or post-simulations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The congestion of the electromagnetic spectrum and the demand of high data rates devices tend to push the microwave applications to higher frequencies. Recent researches focus on the V-band [40-75 GHz] where various applications are developed like radar, security, medicine, indoor communications and the 5G [1 - 6]. Especially, the 7 GHz unlicensed band around 60 GHz is of great interest for secured broadband communications [7 - 9]. Reaching such high frequencies allows achieving wireless data rates of few Gbits/s [10].

These mass-market applications must be cost-effective, compact and reproducible while ensuring reliable performance. Within this context, BiCMOS appears as one of the most interesting technology [8, 11, 12]. Moreover, it fits with the increasing demand on systems-on-chip that removes the drawbacks of circuits’ interconnections thanks to a full integration [8, 13, 15].

In the telecommunication context, an efficient spectrum discretization is a major challenge; hence bandpass filtering is a key topic. Filters compatible with systems-on-chip are either planar filters or substrate integrated waveguide filters. The latter technology exhibits the better quality factor while being much bulkier [16]. Concerning planar filters, they can be really compact and easy to implement but they usually exhibit a low quality factor (<20) [17 - 24]. To optimize the transmission response while keeping compact size, pseudo-elliptic structures are very interesting. Hence, the presence of transmission zeros in the vicinity of the passband strongly increases the out-of-band rejection while minimizing the filter order and, therefore the insertion loss [20 - 24].

This work focuses on ring resonators, a pseudo-elliptic structure introduced in [25]. This filter topology
is usually side-coupled and the associated synthesis is presented in [26]. Nevertheless, an easier coupling of the ring filter by direct accesses is presented in [27]. The present work presents the full synthesis of the direct coupling ring filter, for an easy and quick design step. Section II details the ring filter synthesis as well as its technological limitations. An improvement of the synthesis is then proposed to bypass those constraints by the use of capacitive loads along the ring. In section III, several second-order 60 GHz ring filters are designed to highlight the synthesis possibilities. They are implemented using the 130 nm BiCMOS technology provided by IHP microelectronics [28]. Finally, section IV presents a benchmark of 60-GHz integrated filters.

II. THEORY

A) Nominal ring filter synthesis

![Plane of symmetry](image)

*Fig. 1. Nominal ring filter schematic.*

The basic ring resonator is a one wavelength-long cell with direct feeds, as depicted in Fig. 1. This topology exhibits a symmetrical quasi-elliptic frequency response, which is controlled by the characteristic impedance values $Z_1$ and $Z_2$, [27].

The proposed synthesis aims at determining the impedance ratio $r_z = Z_2/Z_1$ and the characteristic impedance value $Z_i$, that fit with the requirement in terms of center frequency ($f_c$), low-frequency transmission zero ($f_{TZ}$) and in-band return loss ($y$).

With symmetry consideration, the even- and odd-mode analysis is applied. Based on the equivalent schematics presented in Fig. 2, the even-mode impedance, respectively odd-mode impedance, is given by equation (1), respectively (2).

\[
Y_{even}(f) = jY_1 \cdot \left[ \frac{1}{r_z} \tan \theta_2 + \frac{\tan \theta_1 + 1/r_z \cdot \tan \theta_2}{1 - 1/r_z \cdot \tan \theta_1 \tan \theta_2} \right] \quad (1)
\]

\[
Y_{odd}(f) = jY_1 \cdot \left[ -\frac{1}{r_z} \cot \theta_2 + \frac{\tan \theta_1 - 1/r_z \cdot \cot \theta_2}{1 + 1/r_z \cdot \tan \theta_1 \cot \theta_2} \right] \quad (2)
\]

where $\theta_1$ and $\theta_2$ are defined by (3) and (4) with $f_c$ the center frequency of the filter.

\[
\theta_1(f) = \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{f}{f_c} \quad (3)
\]

\[
\theta_2(f) = \frac{\pi}{4} \cdot \frac{f}{f_c} \quad (4)
\]
Thereby, one can calculate the transmission parameter $S_{21}$, with $Y_0$ the normalization admittance (5).

$$S_{21}(f) = \frac{\Gamma_{even} - \Gamma_{odd}}{2} = Y_0 \cdot \frac{Y_{odd} - Y_{even}}{(Y_0 + Y_{even})(Y_0 + Y_{odd})}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

1) Control of the low transmission zero frequency

At the low transmission zero frequency $f_{TZ} (< f_c)$, $S_{21}(f_{TZ}) = 0$. This leads to the resolution of $Y_{odd}(f_{TZ}) - Y_{even}(f_{TZ}) = 0$, with the impedance ratio $r_z$ as the unknown variable:

$$\frac{1}{r_z} \cot \theta_{2_{TZ}} + \frac{1}{r_z} \tan \theta_{2_{TZ}} - \frac{\tan \theta_{1_{TZ}} - 1/r_z \cot \theta_{2_{TZ}}}{1 + 1/r_z \tan \theta_{1_{TZ}} \cot \theta_{2_{TZ}}} + \frac{\tan \theta_{1_{TZ}} + 1/r_z \tan \theta_{2_{TZ}}}{1 - 1/r_z \tan \theta_{1_{TZ}} \tan \theta_{2_{TZ}}} = 0 \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $\theta_{1_{TZ}} = \theta_1(f_{TZ}) \leq \pi/2$ and $\theta_{2_{TZ}} = \theta_2(f_{TZ}) \leq \pi/4$.

After calculation, a quadratic equation depending on $1/r_z$ appears (7). Its resolution finally leads to (8). Since $r_z$ represents an impedance ratio, only the positive solution is considered.

$$\left(\frac{1}{r_z}\right)^2 - \frac{2 \cot \theta_{1_{TZ}}}{\tan 2\theta_{2_{TZ}}} \cdot \frac{1}{r_z} - \left(1 + 2 \cot^2 \theta_{1_{TZ}}\right) = 0 \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

$$r_z = \left[\cot \theta_{1_{TZ}} \left(\cot 2\theta_{2_{TZ}} + \sqrt{2 + \tan^2 \theta_{1_{TZ}} + \cot^2 2\theta_{2_{TZ}}}\right)\right]^{-1} \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

Figure 3 illustrates the electric response of the filter when the ratio $r_z$ varies. Only the transmission zero frequencies are affected by an $r_z$ change.

2) Passband matching control

At the center frequency, the even- and odd-mode admittance expressions are as follow:
with \( B \) defined as \( B = r_z - 1/r_z \).

Finally, the filter transmission at the center frequency can be written as:

\[
S_{21}(f_c) = \frac{-2jY_0 Y_1 B}{Y_0^2 + Y_1^2 B^2}.
\]

By imposing the return loss, the passband ripple \( x \) is such as:

\[
x = \sqrt{1 - 10^{-y/10}}.
\]

By equaling the modulus of (11) and (12), a quadratic equation appears with \( Y_1 \) as unknown variable. Its resolution leads to two solutions for the value of \( Z_1 \) (13). The one with the minus sign corresponds to an over-coupled filter response (Fig. 4) therefore the solution with the plus sign is preferred.

\[
Z_1 = \left[ \frac{Y_0}{x \cdot |B|} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - x^2} \right) \right]^{-1}
\]

(13)

**Fig. 4. Electric response with the two \( Z_1 \) solutions of equation (13).**

(The low transmission zero frequency equals 0.725 \( f_c \), thus, the impedance ratio \( r_z \) is 0.7.)

Figure 5 illustrates the control of the in-band return loss with \( Z_1 \) for a given low transmission zero frequency (0.725 \( f_c \)), setting impedance ratio \( r_z \) to 0.7. The bandwidth (that is only controlled by the transmission zero frequency, i.e. \( r_z \)) is the same for all the simulations presented in Fig. 5.

**Fig. 5. Dependence of the electric response with \( Z_1 \) value. (The impedance ratio \( r_z \) is set to 0.7.)**

3) **Limitation**

As the impedance ratio directly implies the low transmission zero frequency, it also sets the bandwidth.
Thus, for a given technology, the extent of the achievable bandwidth relies only on the characteristic impedance range. For instance, Fig. 6 depicts the achievable bandwidth as a function of the impedance values $Z_1$ and $Z_2$. If an impedance range from $11 \Omega$ to $92 \Omega$ is considered, the achievable 3-dB fractional bandwidth ($\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$) varies from 12% to 67%. Thereby, this topology is dedicated to filters with medium to relative wide bandwidth. (Note that the considered impedance range relies on the BiCMOS 130nm microstrip technology that will be presented later in this paper.)

![Graph of achievable 3-dB fractional bandwidth around 60 GHz as a function of the characteristic impedances $Z_1$ and $Z_2$.](image)

**Fig. 6.** Achievable 3-dB fractional bandwidth around 60 GHz as a function of the characteristic impedances $Z_1$ and $Z_2$.

B) Capacitive-loaded ring filter synthesis

1) Bandwidth reduction

In order to reduce the achievable bandwidth, an evolution of the topology is presented using capacitive loading. Each side of the ring is loaded at its center by a capacitor as shown in Fig. 7.

![Capacitive-loaded ring filter schematic.](image)

**Fig. 7.** Capacitive-loaded ring filter schematic.

Considering the equivalent networks depicted in Fig. 8, the ABCD matrix of each circuit can be easily determined, $Z_{i,c}$ being the characteristic impedance of the loaded transmission line and $\theta_{i,c}$ its electrical length. Comparing the ABCD matrix, equations (14) and (15) appear with $\omega_c = 2\pi f_c$.

\[
\cos(2\theta_{i,c}) - \frac{C_i\omega_c Z_{i,c}}{2} \sin(2\theta_{i,c}) = 0 \tag{14}
\]

\[
Z_{i,c} \sin(2\theta_{i,c}) - \frac{C_i Z_{i,c}}{2} \left[1 - \cos(2\theta_{i,c})\right] = Z_i \tag{15}
\]
Equation (14) directly gives the required electrical lengths $\theta_{i_C}$ (16).

$$\theta_{i_C} = \frac{1}{2} \arctan \left( \frac{2}{Z_{i_C} C_i \omega_c} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

The resolution of (15), knowing expression (16), leads to:

$$Z_{i_C} = \frac{Z_i}{\sqrt{1 - Z_i C_i \omega_c}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

Figures 9 and 10 display the bandwidth and electrical length variations versus normalized capacitor value ($C_N = Z_0 C \omega_c$). The nominal circuit corresponds to $C$ equal to zero; then the capacitive loading is applied with four identical capacitors ($C_1=C_2=C$) while forcing the impedance values to those determined by the nominal synthesis ($Z_{i_C}=Z_0$). As shown in Fig. 9, the higher the capacitor value, the lower the fractional bandwidth. Hence, for a given technology, the capacitive-loaded topology allows reaching lower bandwidths than the nominal one. Moreover, the electrical lengths decrease (see Fig. 10), thus strongly minimizing the size of the filter.

![Fig. 9. 3-dB fractional bandwidth as a function of the capacitive loading for $C_1=C_2=C$ and given values of $Z_{i_C}$ and $Z_{2_C}$ corresponding to the nominal synthesis applied with $f_{T2}=0.725 f_c$ and $y=20 \text{ dB}$ (i.e. $Z_{1_C}=Z_i=32.7 \Omega$ and $Z_{2_C}=Z_2=23 \Omega$).](image-url)
Fig. 10. Electrical lengths as a function of the capacitive loading for $C_1 = C_2 = C$ and given values of $Z_{1,C}$ and $Z_{2,C}$ corresponding to the nominal synthesis applied with $f_TZ = 0.725f_c$ and $y = 20$ dB.

2) Design versatility

In terms of implementation, this capacitive-loaded topology offers a high flexibility since the design requires four values \{C_1, Z_{1,C}\} and \{C_2, Z_{2,C}\}. With these degrees-of-freedom, several filter topologies can be designed to meet the specifications with respect to the technological constraints (available capacitances or characteristic impedances) and the targeted specifications (surface miniaturization or minimization of the insertion loss).

III. 60 GHz FILTERS DESIGN

In this section, six second-order filters are presented to validate the previous synthesis with and without the capacitive loads.

A) Technology

All the realized circuits have been implemented in the IHP's 130nm SiGe BiCMOS technology presented in Fig. 11. The stack is made of seven aluminum layers including two thick top metals all of them drawn in the SiO$_2$. The via holes between layers are made of tungsten and a Si$_3$N$_4$ passivation layer covers the whole die. The process also includes MIM capacitors.

Thin film microstrip transmission lines are used in this work. The lowest layer, Metal 1, is used as ground plane and the highest and thickest one, Top Metal 2 (3 µm-thick), is used as signal line. This allows both decreasing the conductive losses and getting a large impedance range.

Figure 12 shows the 60-GHz simulated impedance and attenuation constant values versus the transmission-line width. The characteristic impedance range is [11 Ω, 92 Ω]. The highest value is set by the Top Metal 2 width limit (2 µm) given by the design rules. The lowest reachable impedance is 11 Ω for a 140 µm signal width. Larger microstrips might be considered but, for realistic applications, a reasonable shape factor has to be kept between the width and the quarter-wavelength (660 µm at 60 GHz) so that the transitions remain negligible. The simulated attenuation constant hardly depends on the value of the characteristic impedance and remains lower than 0.6 dB/mm at 60 GHz.
B) Nominal ring filters

As seen in the theoretical part (Section II), the lowest impedance sets the narrowest bandwidth. Hence, theoretically, considering the lowest 11 Ω impedance, the $FBW_{3dB}$ is limited to 12%. In this part, two 60 GHz nominal ring filters (Fig. 1) are proposed with 18%- and 12%- $FBW_{3dB}$. They are composed of quarter-wavelength transmission lines whose characteristic impedances given by the synthesis are summarized in Table 1. Both ring filters are simulated and slightly optimized using the finite-element-method-based software Ansys HFSS™.

Table 1. Characteristic impedances and associated microstrip widths of the $\lambda/4$ transmission lines composing the nominal ring filters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TLines 1</th>
<th>TLines 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z&lt;sub&gt;1&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 18%</td>
<td>23.6 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nominal 12%</td>
<td>13.4 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The circuits’ photographs are shown in Fig. 13. Excluding the access lines and RF-pads, the filter footprint is 0.51 mm² for the 18%- $FBW_{3dB}$ resonator while it is 0.61 mm² for the 12%- $FBW_{3dB}$ resonator. (The metal squares in the center of the ring are dummies required to pass the technology density rules.)

![Fig. 13. Photographs of the nominal ring filters: (a) 18% $FBW_{3dB}$ filter and (b) 12% $FBW_{3dB}$ filter.](image)

The measurements of these filters were carried out up to 110 GHz with the setup shown in Fig. 14. All measurements, obtained after deembedding using on-wafer TRL calibration kit [29], are in a very good agreement with the simulation results, see Fig. 15 and 16.
Fig. 14. On-wafer measurement setup.

Fig. 15 displays the 18% $FBW_{3dB}$ nominal ring results. The simulation predicts a 3.4 dB insertion loss while the measurements exhibit 4.3 dB for a 20.1% $FBW_{3dB}$ which is slightly enlarged compared to the expected 18%. The measured center frequency is shifted by 1 GHz to higher frequencies and the matching level is very good (>18 dB).

In Fig. 16, the measurement fits very well with the simulations on the whole frequency band: The measured $FBW_{3dB}$ is 12.5% compared to the 12% expected by the simulation and the measured insertion loss is 5.8 dB compared to the simulated 5.7 dB.

The very good agreement between the simulation and the measurement results proves the high reliability of the nominal synthesis and the high level of confidence and accuracy of the design models. Actually, as no modification on the synthesis values has been done (except negligible changes to take the corners electromagnetic effects into account), it is very convenient for designers to use and target
filters with given technical specifications.

The main limitation of this nominal synthesis is the very low required impedances for narrow-band filters (see Fig. 12). For instance, the filter with 12% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ of Fig. 13 (b) requires microstrips as large as 126 µm, i.e. $\lambda/20$, which is bulky and not convenient for the design. So, next part will focus on the capacitive-loaded ring filter synthesis that allows the designer to easily reach narrower bandwidths.

C) Capacitive-loaded ring filters

Using the capacitive-loaded ring filter synthesis presented in part II.B), four filters were designed at 60 GHz (schematic Fig. 7 with the same capacitors, i.e. $C_1=C_2=C$). For comparison purposes with the nominal one, two filters were designed to get a 12% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ with two different capacitor values. Then, to push the limit of narrow bandwidth, two 8% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ filters were designed. They also differ in terms of the used capacitances. All capacitances obtained with the synthesis were implemented with Metal-Insulator-Metal capacitors available in the process.

1) 12% capacitive-loaded ring filter

Two 12% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ filters were designed with either capacitances of 88.5 fF or 140 fF. All the electrical properties from the synthesis as well as the physical dimensions are summarized in Table 2. The two ring filters are shown in Fig. 17. The one using 88.5 fF capacitors has a surface area of 0.39 mm$^2$ and the one with 140 fF capacitors requires both thinner and shorter transmission lines and only requests 0.18 mm$^2$.

Table 2. 12% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ capacitive-loaded ring filters electrical and geometrical properties at 60 GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacitors</th>
<th>TLines 1</th>
<th>Z$_1$</th>
<th>$\theta_1$</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>TLines 2</th>
<th>Z$_2$</th>
<th>$\theta_2$</th>
<th>W</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>MIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>88.5 fF loaded 12%</td>
<td>$20 \Omega$</td>
<td>$36^\circ$</td>
<td>87.5 µm</td>
<td>$16 \Omega$</td>
<td>$37.5^\circ$</td>
<td>67 µm</td>
<td>88.5 fF</td>
<td>7.8 x 7.8µm$^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>140 fF loaded 12%</td>
<td>$34 \Omega$</td>
<td>$24^\circ$</td>
<td>57.2 µm</td>
<td>$23 \Omega$</td>
<td>$29.5^\circ$</td>
<td>32 µm</td>
<td>140 fF</td>
<td>9.8 x 9.8µm$^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 17. Photographs of the capacitive-loaded 12% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ ring filters with (a) 88.5 fF and (b) 140 fF capacitors.

The measurement and the simulation results of these 12% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ capacitive-loaded ring filters are shown in Fig. 18 and 19. In both cases, the measured center frequency, return loss and $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ agree very well with the simulation results. The measured insertion loss is 5.6 dB, whereas the nominal filter exhibits 5.8 dB insertion loss for identical bandwidth.

With almost similar electrical performance, the 12% $\text{FBW}_{3\text{dB}}$ capacitive-loaded topology is much more compact than the nominal one. Moreover, the higher the capacitance is, the stronger the miniaturization is (up to a factor 3.4 with 140 fF capacitors).

Those results also highlight how efficient the proposed synthesis is. Actually, this double synthesis (with and without capacitors) allows a very high flexibility for the designer. Hence, for a given specification, the designer can choose either to use a nominal topology without capacitors (e.g. if not available in the technology) or to implement capacitive-loaded ring to target a specific miniaturization in adjusting the capacitor values.
2) Narrow-band capacitive-loaded ring filters

The miniaturization purpose is a great advantage of the capacitive-loaded topology. Nevertheless, another strong benefit is to allow reaching narrow bandwidths that cannot be achieved with the nominal structure.

As previously mentioned, according to the technological limitations in the 130 nm BiCMOS process, the 3dB-bandwidth of the nominal ring filter topology has a lower limit of 12%. To bypass this limitation, 8% FBW3dB ring filters at 60 GHz are realized with the capacitive-loads and associated synthesis. Two filters are implemented with two capacitance values to compare the electrical performance and validate the synthesis in different cases.

All the electrical and dimension characteristics are given in Table 3. The capacitive-loaded ring filter with an 88.5 fF capacitance requires a 0.47 mm² surface area while the circuit using a 160 fF capacitance has an on-chip area of 0.38 mm² (Fig. 20). Once again, the measurements and simulations (Fig. 21 and 22) are in a very good agreement. For the 88.5 fF loaded ring, the insertion loss reaches 7.7 dB and the return loss 14 dB whereas the 160 fF loaded ring filter exhibits an 8.7 dB insertion loss and an 11 dB return loss.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Capacitors</th>
<th>TLines 1</th>
<th>TLines 2</th>
<th>MIM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z1</td>
<td>θ1</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Z2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.5 fF loaded 8%</td>
<td>13.4 Ω</td>
<td>38.5°</td>
<td>107.5 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160 fF loaded 8%</td>
<td>14.1 Ω</td>
<td>33.5°</td>
<td>102.5 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. 8% FBW3dB capacitive-loaded ring filters electrical and geometrical properties at 60 GHz.
This section is a complete application of the nominal and capacitive-loaded ring filter synthesis. The validation is carried out on moderate-band 60-GHz filters with 3-dB fractional bandwidth of 18%, 12% and 8%. It highlights the high flexibility of the topology depending on both the expected size reduction and the technology (e.g. capacitors available or not, which capacitor values).

It is also worth mentioning that the direct synthesis application gives directly good electromagnetic performance (no tuning and no post-simulation are required). Moreover, it also confirms the very good reliability of the BiCMOS process.
IV. BENCHMARK

In this section, a benchmark is performed between several 60-GHz bandpass filters implemented in various CMOS technologies, see Table 4. Medium bandwidths are considered and a special attention is given to determine if those filters are fully dimensioned based on a published synthesis. In addition, they are compared in terms of required surface area and electrical performance. To take both the insertion loss and the bandwidth into account, a quality factor calculation is made with the approximation (18), introduced by Cohn in [30]. Since the $g_k$ are the coefficients of the $n$th order Butterworth polynomial, this approximation is accurate for filters showing a Butterworth response. Hence to fairly compare the filters presented in Table 4, the quality factor is calculated only for pseudo-elliptic second-order filters, i.e. having a good rejection on both sides of the passband. (Those do not present a Butterworth electric response but no accurate approximation exists in this particular case.) For second order filters, the approximation of (18) becomes (19).

$$Q_u = 4.343 \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{n} g_k}$$
$$Q_{u,2nd} = \frac{14.8}{IL \times FBW_{3dB}}$$

Table 4. Medium band CMOS bandpass filters around 60 GHz.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>Available synthesis</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>$FBW_{3dB}$</th>
<th>$IL$</th>
<th>$Q_{u,2nd}$</th>
<th>Surface area</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CMOS QMSIW</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60.5 GHz</td>
<td>11.6 %</td>
<td>2.5 dB</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1.7 mm²</td>
<td>[16]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 nm CMOS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>10 %</td>
<td>9.3 dB</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.14 mm²</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>13.3 %</td>
<td>3.1 dB</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>0.032 mm²</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 nm CMOS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>53.4 GHz</td>
<td>16.5 %</td>
<td>5.5 dB</td>
<td>16.3</td>
<td>0.09 mm²</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90 nm CMOS</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>59 GHz</td>
<td>24.6 %</td>
<td>4.2 dB</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>0.12 mm²</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130 nm CMOS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>17 %</td>
<td>4.1 dB</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>0.29 mm²</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>30 %</td>
<td>3.5 dB</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>0.034 mm²</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>180 nm CMOS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>26 %</td>
<td>4 dB</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>0.185 mm²</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>20.1 %</td>
<td>4.3 dB</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.51 mm²</td>
<td>Nominal 18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>12.5 %</td>
<td>5.8 dB</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.61 mm²</td>
<td>Nominal 12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.8 %</td>
<td>5.6 dB</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>0.39 mm²</td>
<td>88.5 fF Loaded 12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>11.3 %</td>
<td>5.6 dB</td>
<td>23.4</td>
<td>0.18 mm²</td>
<td>140 fF Loaded 12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>9.3 %</td>
<td>7.7 dB</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>0.47 mm²</td>
<td>88.5 fF Loaded 8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8 %</td>
<td>8.7 dB</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>0.38 mm²</td>
<td>160 fF Loaded 8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$q_{u,2nd}$ is calculated only for second-order pseudo-elliptic responses.

The quarter-mode substrate integrated waveguide filter in [16] shows the lowest loss but also the largest footprint reported in Table 4. The lumped-element-based bandpass filter in [19] displays very interesting electrical performance and is really compact but, without transmission zeros close to the passband, its out-of-band rejection is not sharp enough and reaches only 10 dB at 90 GHz.

Among the quasi-elliptic response, the solutions presented in [20, 21, 23, 24] exhibit similar electric performance since their quality factors are between 14.1 and 16.3. They differ in surface area, the filter of [23] being the most compact with only a 0.034 mm² footprint. Even if the solution of [21] is based on a thorough analysis reported in [33], none of those filters is based on a synthesis, i.e. the electrical properties are not directly given by the targeted technical specifications.

Finally, three solutions designed with a full synthesis remain: [17] is based on coupled lines design, [22] uses dual behavior resonators and the filters of the present paper. The 60-GHz conventional coupled lines filter of [17] is more compact than the present work but the associated insertion loss are the highest referenced in this state-of-the-art and it is not pseudo-elliptic so difficult to truly compared with others. In [22], low losses are measured thanks to both a compact slow-wave structure and copper metal layers. This leads to a good 21.2 quality factor. The present work, implemented with aluminum metal layers, exhibit quality factors ranging from 17.1 to 23.4. As the highest value is obtained for the loaded solution with high capacitances, it also presents the advantage to be quite compact.
V. CONCLUSION

The synthesis for direct access ring filter is detailed. The characteristic impedances of the quarter-wave transmission lines are fully determined with the targeted electrical response defined with the center frequency, the low-frequency transmission zero and the return loss level. To decrease the achievable bandwidth, capacitors are added in the filter topology and the synthesis is therefore updated. This evolution leads to a miniaturization and to an important versatility of the synthesis as various degrees-of-freedom can be adjusted by the designer.

Finally, the two synthesizes are implemented at millimeter-wave in a 130-nm BiCMOS technology. Two nominal ring filters are measured at 60 GHz: the first exhibits an 18% $FBW_{3dB}$ for a 4.3 dB insertion loss and the second has 5.8 dB of insertion loss for a 12% $FBW_{3dB}$. To highlight the flexibility of the capacitive-loaded filter synthesis, two 12% $FBW_{3dB}$ filters with different capacitances are characterized. They exhibit very similar electrical responses as the nominal topology but are much more compact (up to a factor 3.4). Finally, to push the limit of low bandwidth, two capacitively loaded filters with different capacitor values are implemented to reach an 8% $FBW_{3dB}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Christian Wipf and Barbaros Cetindogan, IHP (Germany), for their assistance and the on-wafer measurements.

REFERENCES


List of figures and tables
Fig. 1. Nominal ring filter schematic.
Fig. 2. Equivalent schematics for (a) the even mode and (b) the odd mode impedance calculation.
Fig. 3. Dependence of the electric response with $r_z=Z_2/Z_1$. ($r_z$ varies from 0.5 to 0.9, the return loss is set to 20 dB.).

Fig. 4. Electric response with the two $Z_1$ solutions of equation (13). (The low transmission zero frequency equals 0.725·$f_c$, thus, the impedance ratio $r_z$ is 0.7.)

Fig. 5. Dependence of the electric response with $Z_1$ value. (The impedance ratio $r_z$ is set to 0.7.)

Fig. 6. Achievable 3-dB fractional bandwidth around 60 GHz as a function of the characteristic impedances $Z_1$ and $Z_2$.

Fig. 7. Capacitive-loaded ring filter schematic.

Fig. 8. (a) A capacitive-loaded transmission line and (b) its equivalent conventional transmission line model.

Fig. 9. 3-dB fractional bandwidth as a function of the capacitive loading for $C_1=C_2=C$ and given values of $Z_{1,C}$ and $Z_{2,C}$ corresponding to the nominal synthesis applied with $f_{TZ}=0.725·f_c$ and $y=20$ dB (i.e. $Z_{1,C}=Z_1=32.7\ \Omega$ and $Z_{2,C}=Z_2=23\ \Omega$).

Fig. 10. Electrical lengths as a function of the capacitive loading for $C_1=C_2=C$ and given values of $Z_{1,C}$ and $Z_{2,C}$ corresponding to the nominal synthesis applied with $f_{TZ}=0.725·f_c$ and $y=20$ dB.

Fig. 11. IHP’s 130nm SiGe BiCMOS Back-End-of-Line.

Fig. 12. 60-GHz simulated characteristic impedance and attenuation constant for microstrip transmission lines implemented in IHP 130nm BiCMOS.

Fig. 13. Photographs of the nominal ring filters: (a) 18% FBW$_{3dB}$ filter and (b) 12% FBW$_{3dB}$ filter.

Fig. 14. On-wafer measurement setup.

Fig. 15. Simulated and measured S-parameters of the 18% FBW$_{3dB}$ nominal ring filter.

Fig. 16. Simulated and measured S-parameters of the 12% FBW$_{3dB}$ nominal ring filter.

Fig. 17. Photographs of the capacitive-loaded 12% FBW$_{3dB}$ ring filters with (a) 88.5 fF and (b) 140 fF capacitors.

Fig. 18. Simulated and measured S-parameters of the 12% FBW$_{3dB}$ ring filter loaded with 88.5 fF capacitors.

Fig. 19. Simulated and measured S-parameters of the 12% FBW$_{3dB}$ ring filter loaded with 140 fF capacitors.

Fig. 20. Photographs of the capacitive-loaded 8% FBW$_{3dB}$ ring filters with (a) 88.5 fF and (b) 160 fF capacitors.

Fig. 21. Simulated and measured S-parameters of the 8% FBW$_{3dB}$ ring filter loaded with 88.5 fF capacitors.

Fig. 22. Simulated and measured S-parameters of the 8% FBW$_{3dB}$ ring filter loaded with 160 fF capacitors.

Table 1. Characteristic impedance and associated microstrip widths of the $\lambda/4$ transmission lines composing the nominal ring filters.

Table 2. 12% FBW$_{3dB}$ capacitive-loaded ring filters electrical and geometrical properties at 60 GHz.

Table 3. 8% FBW$_{3dB}$ capacitive-loaded ring filters electrical and geometrical properties at 60 GHz.

Table 4. Medium band CMOS bandpass filters around 60 GHz.