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Abstract

Intrinsically Disordered Proteins and Regions (IDPs/IDRs) are key components of a multitude of biological processes. Conformational malleability enables IDPs/IDRs to perform very specialized functions that cannot be accomplished by globular proteins. The functional role for most of these proteins is related to the recognition of other biomolecules to regulate biological processes or as a part of signaling pathways. Depending on the extent of disorder, the number of interacting sites and the type of partner, very different architectures for the resulting assemblies are possible. More recently, molecular condensates with liquid-like properties composed of multiple copies of IDPs and nucleic acids have been proven to regulate key processes in eukaryotic cells. The structural and kinetic details of disordered biomolecular complexes are difficult to unveil experimentally due to their inherent conformational heterogeneity. Computational approaches, alone or in combination with experimental data, have emerged as unavoidable tools to understand the functional mechanisms of this elusive type of assemblies. The level of description used, all-atom or coarse-grained, strongly depends on the size of the molecular systems and on the timescale of the investigated mechanism. In this mini-review, we describe the most rele-
vant architectures found for molecular interactions involving IDPs/IDRs and the computational strategies applied for their investigation.
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1. Introduction

In the last few decades, Intrinsically Disordered Proteins and Regions (IDPs/IDRs) have emerged as key actors in multiple fundamental biological processes [1, 2]. Due to the lack of permanent secondary and tertiary structure, IDPs/IDRs are highly malleable molecules adapted to perform specialized functions that complement those of their globular counterparts [3, 4]. Intrinsic disorder is abundant in eukaryotic proteomes, where it contributes to the cellular complexity by participating in the vast majority of signaling and regulation events [5, 6]. Their amino acid sequence, rich in charged and non-structuring residues [7, 8], and often displaying low complexity [9], determines their lack of permanent structure. These sequence features have been widely used in bioinformatics approaches to identify disorder and function from proteomics data [10, 11, 12]. While some proteins display disorder all along the sequence (IDPs), in other cases disorder is only present in specific segments of the sequence, which are named IDRs [3, 4]. IDRs can be placed between globular domains (linkers), restricting their relative distance and orientation, or at the N- or C-termini as disordered tails of folded domains [13]. These distinct disordered protein architectures define the types of the resulting assemblies occurring upon binding to the biological partners (see Figure 1).

From a functional perspective, most of these disordered segments act as interaction specialists [14]. Their plasticity enables highly specific recognition by adapting their bound conformation to the physicochemical nature of the partner surface. These interactions are normally performed via evolutionary-conserved short linear interaction motifs (SLiMs) inserted within the chain [15, 16]. SLiMs,
Figure 1: Illustration of different types of interactions involving IDPs/IDRs. They are classified depending on the ordered/disordered nature of the interacting regions. The representation is not aimed to be exhaustive, and combined interaction types do also exist. A-class cartoons refer to proteins consisting of multiple structured domains connected by flexible linkers. The domains can either interact intramolecularly (A1) or with other biomolecules (A2 and A3). B-class cartoons represent interactions driven by SLiMs (in red) placed in IDRs that recognize their own globular domain (B1) or another protein (B2). C-class cartoons represent bimolecular interactions involving an IDP with a globular protein. While in C1 assembly a single SLiM (in red) recognizes the globular domain, C2 and C3 represent scenarios where two similar SLiMs of the IDP interact with a globular protein with one (C2) or two (C3) binding sites. D-class cartoons represent the interaction between disordered proteins that either form amyloid-like structures (D1), extremely fuzzy complexes (D2) or unstructured condensates with liquid-like behavior (D3). In D2 and D3, multiple low-affinity non-specific interactions (red dots) are present.
which encompass from 3 to 10 contiguous amino acids, are defined according to consensus sequences that are considered as the hot-spots of the interaction [16]. The large number and sequence variability of the identified interacting segments exemplify the richness of recognition events performed by IDRs [17]. Interestingly, several proteins share the same consensus sequence, identifying the family of binding partners recognized. Differences in the remaining residues and/or flanking regions can modulate the thermodynamics and kinetics of the recognition event, as well as the capacity to discriminate between several partners. Interaction mechanisms are classified according to the flexibility adopted by the disordered fragment upon binding [18]. While some disordered segments present a well-defined rigid structure in the bound form, others display an almost complete conformational freedom with multiple, very weak fuzzy contacts with the partner [18] [19, 20]. A prominent example of these extremely fuzzy complexes is the formation of liquid-like membrane-less compartments, which have appeared in the recent years as a very efficient mechanism for the spatio-temporal organization in living cells [21, 22]. Beyond the existence of these two extreme scenarios, the growing number of interactions reported suggests that there is a continuum of flexible binding modes [23]. Furthermore, post-translational modifications, often occurring in disordered segments modifying their physicochemical properties and enormously increasing the number of interaction possibilities [24], can act as switches to turn recognition events on and off [25, 26]. This large spectrum of interaction modes and regulation mechanisms explains the variability of functional outcomes and the numerous pathologies associated with the malfunction of disordered proteins and their complexes [27]. Note that although this review is focused on the interaction between IDPs/IDRs with other proteins, they can also be involved in interactions with small ligands, nucleic acids, lipids and carbohydrates [2, 28].

The conformational characterization of disordered proteins and their complexes still represents a challenge for biophysicists. The most suitable structural biology techniques for their study, Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) [29, 30], Small-Angle Scattering (SAS) [31, 32], single-molecule Förster Resonance En-
nergy Transfer (smFRET) [33], provide average information that reports on the ensemble of co-existing conformations present in solution [34, 35]. Moreover, interactions mediated by IDPs/IDRs are often characterized by their low affinity, inducing an equilibrium between bound and unbound forms that further complicates their structural characterization in vitro [36].

In this context, computational methods, either alone or in combination with experimental data, have become pivotal for the structural and dynamic characterization of this elusive class of biomolecules. A large variety of computational methods have been specifically developed, adapting the level of description to the size of the molecular system, the question to be addressed, and the availability of experimental information [37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. The final aim of most of these approaches is the generation of conformational ensembles representing realistic pictures of biomolecular entities with the capacity to provide the structural bases of cellular mechanisms and anticipate functional properties [42].

In this mini-review, we focus on how different computational strategies, alone or in combination with experimental data, have been applied to describe disordered biomolecular complexes. Note that the aim is not to provide an exhaustive enumeration of computational studies on IDPs/IDRs, but to briefly describe the methods and exemplify them with some relevant applications. After a succinct description of the various computational approaches usually applied to disordered complexes, we organized this mini-review according to the different architectures illustrated in Figure 1.

2. An overview of computational approaches

Various computational methods can be applied to the structural investigation of IDPs/IDRs and their interactions [37, 38, 39, 40, 41]. The choice of the method depends on different factors: (1) availability of experimental data, (2) level of detail and timescale at which the molecular mechanism has to be investigated, (3) size of the molecular system, (4) computing power available.

When experimental (biophysical or biological) information is lacking, bioin-
informatics tools can be applied to identify binding motifs from IDP sequences \[43, 44\], and to predict interactions between these motifs and protein partners \[45, 46, 47\]. These predictive tools deliver relevant insights to understand functional mechanisms involving IDPs. However, they only provide a partial and qualitative picture of molecular interactions. The study of thermodynamic and kinetic aspects of protein interactions requires a more global exploration of conformational states and transitions. This exploration can be based on different types of models and algorithms.

Whereas molecular dynamics (MD) simulations using all-atom physics-based force field models are widely used for the investigation of interactions involving globular proteins, the applicability of “standard” MD approaches to IDPs/IDRs is relatively limited \[37, 48\]. A first limitation comes from the fact that force fields, such as Amber and CHARMM, were mostly developed having globular proteins as targets. Thus, they tend to enrich the structure with secondary structure elements (α-helices and β-strands), and to produce collapsed conformations. Recent versions of these force-fields (e.g., \[49, 50, 51, 52\]) have been introduced to mitigate these effects. In particular, a balanced description of protein-water interactions thanks to new water models \[53\] or rescaling approaches \[54\] have been shown to be critical for improving the description of disordered proteins \[51, 55\].

The other limitation of all-atom MD simulations is their computational cost, which precludes their routine use to investigate large structural rearrangements or interaction mechanisms requiring long timescales. One of the main reasons for this high computational cost is the large size of the simulation box containing the protein(s) and water molecules, due to the large radius of gyration of IDPs (with respect to folded protein) and their fluctuations.

MD protocols applied to IDPs/IDRs often rely on enhanced sampling techniques, such as replica-exchange \[56, 57\], metadynamics \[58, 59\] or combined approaches \[60, 61\], which are more efficient than basic MD techniques to explore multiple-basin energy landscapes (we refer the interested reader to specialized reviews \[62, 63\] for further information on enhanced sampling techniques). Note
also that advances in software and hardware, enabling efficient parallel computing, have significantly contributed to extending the applicability of all-atom MD approaches, in particular thanks to the exploitation of graphics processing units (GPUs) [64]. Despite these methodological and technical advances, in practice, all-atom MD simulations are nowadays applicable to the investigation of relatively small systems (e.g., interactions involving protein fragments or a small number of disordered peptides) or too short timescales for larger systems.

The investigation of larger systems and/or longer timescales relies on the application of coarse-grained (CG) models. Although these models do not provide the same level of detail as the all-atom ones, they allow a much wider exploration of the conformational energy landscape. CG models can range from simple Gō-like models [65, 66] to more complex ones considering several beads per amino acid residue, such as AWSEM-IDP [67], PLUM [68], MARTINI [69] or SIRAH [70]. ABSINTH [71] can be considered as an intermediate approach between all-atom and CG models, since it only considers dihedral angles as variables, so that small groups of bonded atoms move as rigid bodies. For their application to IDPs, special attention has been paid to the (implicit) solvation terms included in most of these models. Note that implicit solvation models can be applied using other exploration algorithms, in addition to MD. This is for instance the case of ABSINTH, which was specially developed for Monte Carlo (MC) simulations [71].

Although MD-based methods are attractive due to their accuracy (particularly for atomistic simulations) and capacity to provide information on the temporal evolution of the molecular system, other types of algorithms are more efficient in sampling the huge conformational space of IDPs/IDRs. In addition to MC, several methods based on stochastic sampling techniques have been proposed to generate ensemble models of IDPs/IDRs. The most popular examples of these methods are TraDES [72] and Flexible-Meccano [73, 74]. These approaches incrementally construct IDP/IDR conformations using probability distributions of the dihedral φ and ψ angles of amino acid residues extracted from experimentally-determined protein structures, and can include informa-
tion about secondary structure propensities along the sequence. A recent vari-

ant of these methods, operating with three-residue fragments, has been shown
to generate higher-quality conformational models of IDPs containing partially
structured elements, which naturally emerge as they are encoded in the protein
sequence [75].

While modeling approaches can provide an *ab initio* description of IDP/IDR conformational ensembles based only on physics- and/or knowledge-based mod-
els, their predictive capabilities can be greatly improved by taking advantage of available experimental information. In this respect, NMR, SAS, smFRET and other experimental results can be used for correcting the model inaccuracies, either by biasing or restraining the sampling into the most relevant regions of the conformational space, or by reweighting the simulation results *a posteriori*. Numerous algorithms have been proposed for this combination of simulation methods and experimental data (e.g. [76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84]). The interest to consider experimental data is particularly true for fast, stochastic approaches to generate conformational ensemble models. Actually, ensembles generated by TraDES and Flexible-Meccano are usually filtered and refined based on experimental data using computational tools such as ENSEMBLE [85], AS-

TEROIDS [86], EOM [87, 88] or the Maximum Occurrence [89, 90]. Integrative approaches, combining several complementary experimental and computational methods, are applied to derive more accurate structural models of IDPs/IDRs and their complexes (e.g., [91, 92]).

3. Interactions of structured domains mediated or regulated by dis-

ordered linkers

The majority of proteins in prokaryotes and eukaryotes are composed of several domains connected by linkers [93]. Domain-linker-domain (DLD), illus-

trated in the Figure 1.A, is the most common architecture, but more complex combinations of globular domains connected by flexible linkers exist. Although linkers can be very long, they typically involve from 2 up to ~30 residues [94, 95],
displaying high levels of flexibility and absence of permanent secondary structure. MD simulations in combination with $^{15}$N NMR relaxation experiments have shown that this flexibility occurs in a broad range of timescales \[55\].

Linkers are not mere connectors between domains. Indeed, their length and sequence have been evolutionarily tailored to play key functional roles, being frequently involved in allosteric mechanisms \[96, 97, 98\]. One of the main advantages of this architecture is their capacity to enhance the effective local concentration, $C_{\text{eff}}$, of the linked domains, thus promoting intra- or inter-molecular interactions (Figure 1.A$_1$-A$_2$). They are also key components in signaling processes: linkers can propagate conformational changes in one domain, e.g. induced by ligand binding, to the other domain, which may activate or inhibit other interactions (Figure 1.A$_3$). Below, we present examples of functional roles of linkers, and discuss how they have been investigated using various computational approaches.

3.1. Linkers in bi-specific antibodies

The role of linkers to enhance $C_{\text{eff}}$, as well as their effects on stability, affinity and activity, have been of particular interest in the context of bi-specific antibodies conceived from the combination of different antibodies or antibody fragments \[99\]. These engineered molecules have a great potential for diagnostic and therapeutic applications. The simplest and most common architecture, called single-chain variable domain (scFv) format, consists of antigen-binding sites of two antibodies connected through a linker. Theoretical methods based on simple worm-like models have been proposed to investigate the binding affinity of these systems \[100\], allowing to establish a relationship between the linker length and $C_{\text{eff}}$. However, predictions provided by such simple models can be inaccurate since they do not consider sequence-dependent structural properties of the linker and disregard possible interactions with the domains. Both, linker sequence and interactions, have been shown to be important for the conformational preferences of multi-domain proteins \[101, 102\]. Therefore, more detailed models are required for their investigation. In their study, Mittal et al. \[102\]
performed simulations using the ABSINTH together with an MC-based method called Hamiltonian Switch Metropolis Monte Carlo (HS-MMC) [103] specially developed to enhance sampling of IDRs connected to a folded domain. Although only relatively small artificial constructs involving SH3 and WW domains were used in this study, the approach and the conclusions can be generalized to other systems, including scFvs. For this type of systems, perturbation-response methods are a valuable tool to investigate the dynamical coupling between the two complementarity-determining regions (CDR), as well as the role of the linker in this mechanism. As an interesting example of such methods, Ettayaparam-Ramaprasad et al. [104] proposed an implementation based on an effective Hessian matrix computed from all-atom MD simulations. This Hessian matrix represents an ensemble-based elastic network that captures collective motions, from which the effect of local perturbations can be exhaustively investigated.

3.2. Linkers in multi-domain enzymes

Multi-domain enzymes are another type of proteins for which the study of the functional roles of linkers has attracted interest over the past two decades. MD simulations have been widely used for this purpose. For instance, standard all-atom MD protocols with simulation times of 20 ns were used to investigate the role of the linker in cullin-RING E3 ubiquitin ligases [105], unveiling that allosterically controlled linker motions modulate the distance between the domains, and therefore the ubiquitin transfer reactions. Nevertheless, these types of “basic” techniques cannot be applied to investigate thermodynamic and kinetic properties that would require extremely long simulations. CG models and enhanced sampling methods are the natural alternatives in this case. As an example, Li et al. [106] assessed the essential role of disordered linkers in allosteric regulation processes using a Gō-like model and umbrella sampling combined with a theoretical thermodynamic analysis. Their results suggested that the influence of the linker can be characterized by a $C_{\text{eff}}$ that depends on the linker length and flexibility.
3.3. The case of bimodular cellulases

Numerous studies of multi-domain proteins involving flexible linkers are based on a combination of experimental and computational methods. Bimodular cellulases composed of covalently bound catalytic and cellulose-binding modules can be considered as a typical example. For instance, structural properties of a long disordered linker, containing 88 residues, in an artificial protein conceived from two natural cellulases were investigated by SAXS combined with molecular modeling tools [107]. More precisely, high-temperature MD simulations were applied as a conformational sampling technique, and a subset of the resulting models was selected to collectively fit the experimental data. Results of this study showed that the linker does not behave like a pure random coil, and suggest that the structural properties of the linker are essential for the function of these bimodular enzymes. Similar results have been observed in other studies combining SAXS and theoretical approaches [108, 109]. Moreover, bioinformatics analyses showed that sequence features are conserved in different families of bimodular cellulase enzymes, and suggest that the linker length has been evolutionarily optimized based on the type of the connected domains [110]. In this study, the authors also applied all-atom replica-exchange MD simulations together with circular dichroism to investigate the effects of glycosylation in the linker. Results of their analysis showed that the linkers are not rigidified by the addition of mono- or disaccharides, although they tend to adopt more extended conformations. Overall, this work demonstrated that linker length and composition is important for the activity of these enzymes, but a more clear description of functional roles remained to be elucidated. One of these roles was revealed by μs-scale all-atom MD simulations, showing that glycosylated linkers bind dynamically and non-specifically to the cellulose surface [111]. The predicted enhancement of binding affinity due to the linker was confirmed experimentally.

The importance of the linker for the processivity in cellulases, as well as in other DLD enzymes, has been investigated using bioinformatics tools and a statistical kinetic model [112]. Results of this theoretical work suggested that processivity may result form the kinetic bias of binding due to spatial constraints imposed
by the linker, which favors re-binding over full release of the substrate. They also show that the linker length and flexibility have been finely tuned through evolution to optimize this process.

4. Interactions between disordered regions and structured domains

The interaction between IDPs/IDRs and their globular partners is very often mediated by SLiMs inserted into disordered chains [15] (see Section 1 for additional details about SLiMs). In the unbound form, SLiMs can be pre-structured, reducing the entropic cost of the interaction and, as a consequence, tuning its thermodynamics [113, 114]. The inherent flexibility enables a single SLiM to recognize multiple partners with different structures and affinities (Fig. 2), with p53 being the most notorious example of this promiscuity [4]. Several proteins contain successive SLiMs and can be perceived as molecular platforms that bring to proximity different proteins involved in the same metabolic or signaling pathway to form high-order molecular assemblies [115]. For instance, this capacity is exploited by nuclear receptor co-regulators to assemble a large number of proteins to trigger gene transcription (see below), or by viruses to hijack the eukaryotic translational machinery [116]. In this section, we will describe how computational methods have helped to understand SLiM recognition events. Then, we describe the architectures emerging when several adjacent SLiMs recognize one or multiple sites in the globular partner.

4.1. Modeling partner recognition by short linear motifs

MD simulations have emerged as a powerful tool to study binding modes of IDPs. MD simulations are especially well-suited when the recognition and binding to the partner is achieved by SLiMs since in these cases the computational effort can be reduced by simulating only a small fragment of the IDP. In many cases, high-resolution structures of the bound form are available from X-ray crystallography or NMR. Alternatively, experimentally-assisted computational docking with programs such as FlexPepDock [45], HADDOCK [46, 117] or ID-PLZerD [47] can be used to model the SLiM in the bound form. MD studies of
partner recognition by IDPs have primarily centered on discriminating between two mechanistically different binding modes: conformational selection, when the preformed bound conformation is a requirement for binding, and induced fit, when the optimal conformation is only adopted upon binding. For instance, the structural ensembles of Gab2 in the unbound state as well as in complex with Grb2 were generated using MD simulation with NMR-derived backbone chemical shifts as restraints [118]. Interestingly, it was observed that the secondary structure elements involved in recognition and binding of the partner were already present in the unbound state as well, albeit transiently. Disruption of these secondary structure elements resulted in an affinity reduction, establishing Gab2-Grb2 interaction as a typical example of conformational se-
lection. On the other hand, umbrella-sampling all-atom and coarse-grained MD simulations to study the binding between c-myb and KIX revealed a different scenario \cite{119}. It was observed that the probability of crossing the transition state and the time required to do so did not depend on the structuration of c-myb at the beginning of the simulations, indicating that both unstructured and structured c-myb were capable of binding KIX with comparable rates. It was also noted that the transition state ensemble was heterogeneous with a wide diversity of c-myb conformations. A yet different mode of binding was observed for p53-MDM2 binding using very long unbiased MD simulations and Markov State Models (MSMs) \cite{120}. In this case, binding almost always preceded folding, providing a classic example of ‘fly-casting’ followed by induced fit.

Another example of simultaneous binding and folding was described by Robustelli \textit{et al.} for the interaction between the $\alpha$-helical molecular recognition element ($\alpha$-MoRE) of the intrinsically disordered C-terminal domain of the measles virus nucleoprotein (NTAIL) and the X domain (XD) of the phosphoprotein of the same virus using unbiased MD simulations \cite{121}. As in the case of c-myb-KIX complex, the transition state was found to be highly heterogeneous. An interesting observation, however, was that if the $\alpha$-MoRE formed long helices in the beginning of the binding event, it actually unfolded before forming the additional intermolecular contacts of the native conformation. This is in contrast to the conformational selection phenomenon observed for Gab2-Grb2. It was concluded that there was no clear temporal separation between binding and folding events as observed in other cases \cite{122}.

The above-described interactions can also occur intramolecularly if a disordered tail recognizes the globular domain to which it is attached (see Figure 1.B1) \cite{123,124,125}. Due to the concomitant increase of the $C_{\text{eff}}$, this architecture enables interactions that would have a very low affinity in an intermolecular scenario. Furthermore, the inherent flexibility of the resulting loop-like fragment between the domain and the binding motif decreases the entropic cost of the interaction \cite{126}. An example of such intramolecular
interaction is the auto-inhibition of DNA binding activity of Ets1 by its disordered C-terminal IDR having a Serine rich region (SRR) that can be phosphorylated [127]. Kasahara et al. used high-temperature canonical MD simulations to generate a wide range of structures which were then used to seed multicanonical MD simulations for enhanced sampling. The simulations showed an increased number of contacts between the phosphorylated SRR and a helix in the core of the protein which is responsible for DNA binding, compared to non-phosphorylated SRR indicating a direct competitive mode of inhibition. Free energy surface analyses based on Principal Component Analysis (PCA) followed by clustering of conformations showed that these auto-inhibitory states existed in the non-phosphorylated state as well but their population was significantly increased upon phosphorylation due to alteration of the free energy landscape of Ets1.

4.2. Modeling allovalent complexes

Allovalent interactions occur when multiple similar (or equivalent) SLiMs are adjacently found in the same protein and interact with a partner with a single interaction site [19, 18] (see Figure 1.C). These polyvalent proteins enable a special type of fuzzy complex in which the different SLiMs alternatively recognize the partner and dynamically exchange their position from unbound to bound forms (Fig. 2). This competition of weak interactions for the same binding site increases the overall stability of the complex through cooperative effects that cannot be accounted for by traditional thermodynamic models [128, 129]. The continuous binding-dissociation-rebinding processes are very difficult to model, hampering the deep understanding of the structural and kinetic signatures of allovalency.

The interaction of phosphorylated Sic1 (pSic1) with cdc4 is the prototypical example of allovalent complex. Sic1 contains nine similar CDK phosphorylation sites spread along the chain that can interact with the Cdc4 [130]. Interestingly, the increase of the affinity is not linear with the number phosphorylated sites, and the $K_d$ reaches the submicromolar range only in the presence of at least 6 of
them [131]. This non-linear cooperative mechanism makes Sic1 extremely sensitive to the cellular level of the Cdk kinase [132]. Structural ensembles of Sic1 and pSic1 have been determined by combining NMR and SAXS data, which were integrated using the program ENSEMBLE [133]. A simplistic model of the allovalent complex was built by docking the ensemble of the unbound pSic1 to Cdc4 using the site-specific fraction of bound form determined by NMR and the crystallographic structure of Cdc4 with a model peptide. Although this model provides some insights into the binding mode, the thermodynamic and kinetic features of the complex remain elusive, requiring more advanced computational tools. MD simulations were performed to understand the allovalent recognition of a fragment of the nuclear pore complex (NPC) protein Nup135 and importin-β [134]. Like many other NPC proteins, Nup135 contains multiple FG dipeptides inserted in the sequence that, by weakly interacting with specific proteins, facilitate their translocation to the nucleus. Individual conformations of Nup135 derived from unbiased MD simulations were collected, mixed with importin-β and submitted to a 2 µs MD simulation. The specific association of the two proteins was repeatedly observed along the trajectory, with the FG-repeats docking into previously identified binding pockets on the surface of importin-β [135]. Although the structural details of the FG recognition could be observed, the limited sampling hampered the extraction of the site-exchange kinetics and the evaluation of the differences between the alternative sites.

4.3. Modeling partner recognition by different short linear motifs

A different scenario occurs when multiple adjacent SLiMs can recognize the same globular partner through different anchoring points. In these circumstances, the disordered chain forms a long flexible loop-like structure that connects the bound segments (see Figure 1.C). This recognition mechanism is often associated to cooperative binding through the increase of the $C_{eff}$ of other SLiM(s) when one or more SLiM(s) are already bound. Note that this mechanism is similar to the case of disordered linkers connecting globular domains explained in Section 3. Organisms have developed these complex regulation
mechanisms in order to modulate biological outputs. There are many examples of interactions that involve multisites, but very few of them provide a structural characterization of such complexes. Thus, the complexity of the whole system, including the interplay of the different interacting regions, often remains undescribed.

Complexes involving disordered co-regulators and homo- or heterodimeric nuclear receptor (NR) that regulate gene transcription are prototypical examples of the C₃ scenario. The interaction motifs of co-activators and co-repressors, called NR-boxes, share LxxLL and LxxI/HIxxI/L consensus sequence, respectively. Intriguingly, co-regulators contain a different number of consecutive NR-boxes depending on the organism and can potentially recognize the two binding sites of the NR dimers. For this multisite binding, the balance between an asymmetric model, where a single NR anchoring point is occupied, and a deck model, where both anchoring points are engaged, will depend on the affinity of the individual NR-boxes and the effective concentration dictated by the number and distance between the SLiMs. For the specific case of NRs, local affinities are modulated by endogenous ligands. The complex between the co-repressor N-CoR₉ with the RXR/RAR NR heterodimer could not be fully characterized at the residue level by NMR due to chemical exchange observed in the interacting regions \cite{136}. In order to have a global picture of the complex, all-atom models of N-CoR₉ were generated using Flexible-Meccano \cite{73, 74} and docked to one site of the heterodimer using the crystallographic structure as a template, representing the asymmetric model. To represent the deck model, with the two NR-boxes simultaneously bound to the heterodimer, steered MD simulations were performed on some conformations of the asymmetric ensemble forcing the second NR site to dock on the other face of the NR. By comparing the averaged SAXS profiles computed from both ensembles with the experimental one, the relative populations of the two binding modes in the apo form and in the presence of NR ligands were determined \cite{136}. For the case of co-activators, no detailed model of the complexes has been proposed, although the presence of simultaneous binding has been demonstrated \cite{137, 138, 139}. Inter-

\textbf{17}
ительно, для TIF2NRID ко-активатор, NMR эксперименты подчеркнули уча-ство TIF2NRID NR-box2 фланкирующей области в его взаимодействии с RXR/RAR hetеродимером. Специфический фрагмент, включающий NR-box2 и его фланкирующую област, был синтезирован с RAR, связанной с агонистом, и показал взаимодействующий пуантик. Детали OC119. Точную роль этой фланкирующей области в механизме узнавания и эффекты на общий порядок расположения комплекса остались неясными. Новые подходы должны быть критически важны для решения этих вопросов.

Другой пример — взаимодействие 60-аминокислотной фрагмент тумор-стабилизатора p531−60 с метастаз-ассоциированной S100A4-белком по трем точкам. Это исследование, дополнительно используя данные NMR, с использованием MD-симуляций, определило структуру и динамику этого сложного комплекса. Факт, что промежуточки между тремя интеракционными мотивами короткие, делает моделирование системы менее сложным. На самом деле, конформационное моделирование длинных гибких участков, соединяющих одновременно связанные SLiM, — один из оставшихся вызовов в этой области. Несмотря на то что было описано множество методов для моделирования гибких участков в складчатых белках [141, 97, 142], существующие подходы в основном направлены на предсказание наиболее вероятной конформации(й) гибкого участка, а не исчерпывающее моделирование конформационного пространства гибкого участка. Кроме того, только некоторые из этих методов остались вычислительно эффективными, когда длина гибкого участка превышает 15 аминокислотных остатков. Один из них — метод, вдохновленный робототехникой, использующий большой структурный банк трех-аминокислотных фрагментов [143]. Первоначальные тесты со стороны этого метода показали его способность быстро моделировать конформационное моделирование гибкого участка около 100 аминокислотных остатков (неопубликованная работа).

5. Extreme fuzzy complexes and phase separation behavior

Несколько IDP также могут взаимодействовать друг с другом. Взаимодействие может привести к высокому уровню диссеминации сложных структур [144] (иллюстрировано на рис. 1.D2 и D3) или к жестким частицам, таким как амилоиды (рис. 1.D1). В этом случае, крупные агрегаты
are formed by the perfect arrangement of chains stabilized by a dense network of hydrogen bonds. This case will not be described here, and the reader is referred to other publications [145, 146, 147]. At the other extreme of flexibility, recent publications describe the formation of high-affinity complexes between two IDPs that retain their flexibility upon binding [20, 148]. For the case of Borgia et al. [20], this new kind of biomolecular interaction can be explained by the large opposite electrostatic charges of the two proteins, histone H1 and its nuclear chaperone prothymosin-α. The integration of NMR and smFRET data into one-bead-per-residue CG simulations unveiled that the complex was maintained by multiple long-range electrostatic interactions without the need for defined binding sites and specific interactions. Interestingly, ternary complexes displaying a high exchange rate are formed at high concentrations [149]. The lack of specificity in the interactions causes this phenomenon and triggers the formation of large oligomers, a phenomenon that is reminiscent of liquid-liquid phase separation (LLPS).

Multiple pieces of evidence indicate that dynamical, multivalent interactions between IDRIs/IDPs are major drivers of cellular LLPS processes and provide the structural scaffold for the so-called membrane-less organelles [22, 150]. Remarkably, the structural and functional characterization of these condensates is attracting ever-growing attention since they are currently recognized to play a major role in organizing cellular biochemistry [21, 151]. Computational approaches have the potential to play a key role in this challenge, given the difficulties in tackling the daunting complexity of these biomolecular assemblies with standard structural biology techniques and/or polymer physics theories [150, 38]. In particular, molecular simulations can provide access to elusive structural details of the condensates and complement theoretical and experimental investigations of the molecular grammar governing LLPS [152, 153, 154] with the final aim of establishing sequence-structure-function relations.

Not surprisingly, the length and time scales associated with cellular LLPS, which are collective processes involving intermolecular interactions among a large number of large-sized biomolecules, have favored the development and ap-
plications of suitable CG molecular models. In this respect, CG models based on one-bead-per-residue description have been shown to provide a reasonable compromise of accuracy and computational efficiency and are a popular choice for simulating the LLPS equilibria of flexible proteins \cite{37, 38, 39, 40, 41}. Most accurate versions of these models explicitly take into account the protein sequence and rely on inter-residue energy functions that include implicit-solvent Debye-Hückel electrostatics and contact potentials accounting for excluded volume and short-range attraction. The latter terms are defined according to hydrophobicity scales or statistical potentials and tuned to reproduce experimental structural data or affinities \cite{155, 156}. While the quantitative predictive capabilities of one-bead-per-residue potential should not be overstated \cite{157}, this approach has been successfully applied to shed light on how the protein sequence determines the phase behavior of IDPs, as well as the structural and dynamical properties of condensed phase \cite{156, 154, 158}. Furthermore, CG simulations at this level of resolution can be easily extended to include folded domains \cite{159}, post-translational modifications \cite{160}, thermo-responsive behavior \cite{161} and interactions with RNA molecules \cite{162}. Moving to higher-resolution models, a recent study indicated that the popular MARTINI CG force-field, which relies on a four-atoms to one-bead mapping and an explicit solvation model, can accurately describe the condensation of FUS prion-like domain, upon a fine tuning of its energy function against experimental transfer free-energies \cite{163}. Conversely, ultra-coarse grained simulations, where a single bead may represent a protein domain or an entire biomolecule, have been successfully applied to get some insight into the internal organization of multi-component mixtures that mimic more closely the complexity of cellular condensates \cite{164, 165, 166, 167}.

So far, the role of atomistic MD in this field has been rather limited due to the demanding computational requirements of this approach, which make the direct simulation of phase separation processes unfeasible with present-day computational resources. Nevertheless, recent studies have indicated novel strategies to take advantage of all-atom, explicit-solvent MD simulations based on accurate last-generation force fields in the characterization of biomolecular LLPS. No-
tably, MD simulations of protein fragments at high-concentration were used to dissect the molecular interactions driving the LLPS with a “divide-and-conquer” strategy and they provided results in good agreement with NMR and mutagenesis data with a limited computational cost [168]. Furthermore, a high-resolution picture of protein dynamics in the condensed phase was obtained by generating an initial CG configuration of phase-separated proteins, which was then mapped back to all-atom resolution and simulated in the microsecond timescale thanks to a specialized supercomputer [169].

6. Concluding Remarks

The biological relevance of IDPs/IDRs underlines the importance of having detailed structural models of this class of proteins and their complexes. These models guarantee a molecular perspective of key cellular processes and eventual rational interventions with pharmacological aims [170]. The co-existence of an astronomical number of conformations and the averaged nature of the experimental data that can be recorded for IDPs make the use of computational methods unavoidable. The immense challenges in the field are exemplified in the study of liquid-like droplets, which have attracted the interest of a large community from diverse scientific domains. These highly concentrated protein condensates are inherently disordered and display multivalent, weak intermolecular interactions that are modulated by external parameters such as pH, temperature or phosphorylation states. Therefore, they present multiple challenges for computational modeling.

The growing interest of the structural bioinformatics community to overcome challenges posed by IDPs/IDRs is encouraging. The improvement in the force fields, for both all-atom and CG simulations, to adapt them to disordered states, the development of enhanced sampling strategies, as well as the generalization of parallelized software and the use of GPUs are the most prominent hints of these developments. The increase in the number of experimental studies focusing on IDPs/IDRs is also crucial as they continue identifying novel
biological mechanisms. Moreover, databases and repositories assembling experimental and omics data improve our structural and functional knowledge of these proteins, and provide new opportunities to develop and validate the theoretical methods [171, 42]. This new data is rich in information and can be used, for instance, to improve current force fields, or can be exploited to conceive more accurate conformational sampling methods [75]. The use of data mining and machine learning methods to analyze and exploit relevant information from these databases is a very promising avenue for the improvement of predictive molecular modeling approaches and for the development of new tools to tackle the challenging questions posed by disordered proteins and their complexes.
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